

Voltage Unbalance: Power Quality Issues, Related Standards and Mitigation Techniques

Effect of Unbalanced Voltage on End Use Equipment Performance



WARNING:
Please read the License Agreement
on the back cover before removing
the Wrapping Material.

Technical Report

Effective October 1, 2008, this report has been made publicly available in accordance with Section 734.3(b)(3) and published in accordance with Section 734.7 of the U.S. Export Administration Regulations. As a result of this publication, this report is subject to only copyright protection and does not require any license agreement from EPRI. This notice supersedes the export control restrictions and any proprietary licensed material notices embedded in the document prior to publication.

Voltage Unbalance: Power Quality Issues, Related Standards and Mitigation Techniques

Effect of Unbalanced Voltage on End Use
Equipment Performance

000000000001000092

Final Report, June 2000

EPRI Project Manager
B. Banerjee

DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTIES AND LIMITATION OF LIABILITIES

THIS DOCUMENT WAS PREPARED BY THE ORGANIZATION(S) NAMED BELOW AS AN ACCOUNT OF WORK SPONSORED OR COSPONSORED BY THE ELECTRIC POWER RESEARCH INSTITUTE, INC. (EPRI). NEITHER EPRI, ANY MEMBER OF EPRI, ANY COSPONSOR, THE ORGANIZATION(S) BELOW, NOR ANY PERSON ACTING ON BEHALF OF ANY OF THEM:

(A) MAKES ANY WARRANTY OR REPRESENTATION WHATSOEVER, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, (I) WITH RESPECT TO THE USE OF ANY INFORMATION, APPARATUS, METHOD, PROCESS, OR SIMILAR ITEM DISCLOSED IN THIS DOCUMENT, INCLUDING MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, OR (II) THAT SUCH USE DOES NOT INFRINGE ON OR INTERFERE WITH PRIVATELY OWNED RIGHTS, INCLUDING ANY PARTY'S INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, OR (III) THAT THIS DOCUMENT IS SUITABLE TO ANY PARTICULAR USER'S CIRCUMSTANCE; OR

(B) ASSUMES RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY DAMAGES OR OTHER LIABILITY WHATSOEVER (INCLUDING ANY CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, EVEN IF EPRI OR ANY EPRI REPRESENTATIVE HAS BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES) RESULTING FROM YOUR SELECTION OR USE OF THIS DOCUMENT OR ANY INFORMATION, APPARATUS, METHOD, PROCESS, OR SIMILAR ITEM DISCLOSED IN THIS DOCUMENT.

ORGANIZATION(S) THAT PREPARED THIS DOCUMENT

Oregon State University

ORDERING INFORMATION

Requests for copies of this report should be directed to the EPRI Distribution Center, 207 Coggins Drive, P.O. Box 23205, Pleasant Hill, CA 94523, (800) 313-3774.

Electric Power Research Institute and EPRI are registered service marks of the Electric Power Research Institute, Inc. EPRI. POWERING PROGRESS is a service mark of the Electric Power Research Institute, Inc.

Copyright © 2000 Electric Power Research Institute, Inc. All rights reserved.

CITATIONS

This report was prepared by

Oregon State University
Electrical and Computer Engineering Dept.
Corvallis, Oregon 97331-3211

Principal Investigator
A. von Jouanne

This report describes research sponsored by EPRI.

The report is a corporate document that should be cited in the literature in the following manner:

Voltage Unbalance: Power Quality Issues, Related Standards and Mitigation Techniques: Effect of unbalanced voltage on end use equipment performance, EPRI, Palo Alto, CA: 2000.
000000000001000092.

REPORT SUMMARY

Unbalanced voltages can result in adverse effects on equipment and the electric distribution system. Under unbalanced conditions the distribution system will incur more losses and heating effects, and be less stable. The effect of voltage unbalance can also be detrimental to equipment such as induction motors, power electronic converters, and adjustable speed drives (ASDs). The purpose of this study is to investigate the causes and effects of voltage unbalance as well as the related standards and mitigation techniques.

Background

System voltages at the point of utilization can be unbalanced for several reasons. The nature of the unbalance includes unequal voltage magnitudes at the fundamental system frequency (under-voltages and over-voltages), fundamental phase angle deviation, and unequal levels of harmonic distortion between the phases. A major cause of voltage unbalance is the uneven distribution of single-phase loads, which can be continuously changing across a three-phase distribution system. Additional causes of distribution system voltage unbalance can be asymmetrical transformer winding impedances, open wye and open delta transformer banks, blown fuses on three-phase capacitor banks, and asymmetrical transmission impedances possibly caused by incomplete transposition of transmission lines. Within a user facility, unbalanced voltages can be caused by unbalanced and overloaded equipment and high impedance connections (e.g., bad or loose contacts). The balancing problem can be very difficult to compensate for because the unbalance is continually varying due to changing loads.

Objectives

- To determine the causes and effects of voltage unbalance on the distribution system and in user facilities.
- To determine the accepted definitions for calculating voltage unbalance and to clarify the related standards.
- To identify mitigation techniques for the distribution system and for industries.

Approach

The project team conducted a thorough literature review and used information from over forty publications to construct this report. In addition, several utilities were consulted regarding the state of the voltage unbalance in their service areas and the practices they follow regarding voltage unbalance. Finally, the project team reviewed standards involving voltage unbalance to identify and clarify the reasons for the guidelines given.

Results

This report identifies several causes of voltage unbalance and describes the resulting adverse effects on both the distribution system and on equipment such as induction motors and power electronic converters and drives. It discusses and clarifies standards addressing voltage unbalance, and suggests several mitigation techniques to correct voltage unbalance problems.

It was found that approximately 66% of the U.S. electric distribution systems have voltage unbalances of less than 1%, 98% of distribution systems have unbalances less than 3%, and about 2% of U.S. distribution systems have voltage unbalances of greater than 3% (See Fig. 1).

The American National Standards Institute (ANSI) standard C84.1–1995 recommends that electrical supply systems should be designed and operated to limit the maximum voltage unbalance to 3%. Concurrently, the National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA) recommends in the standard NEMA MG1-1993 that for voltage unbalance greater than 1%, induction motors should be derated by the appropriate factor (See Fig. 2). The apparent difference in the two standards is explained by the fact that ANSI C84.1 was developed with the purpose of minimizing the cost to the customer. Since the customer ends up paying for both the utility related costs and the manufacturing related costs due to voltage unbalance, a compromise was found that brought those overall costs to a minimum at 3% voltage unbalance (See Fig. 11)

EPRI Perspective

This study performed a comprehensive analysis of the issue of voltage unbalance on the power system. It will help utilities and industries understand the causes, effects, and definitions of voltage unbalance, identify mitigation techniques, and interpret related standards.

000000000001000092

Keywords

Unbalanced Voltage
Voltage Unbalance
Derating
Standards

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this report is to present the causes and effects of voltage unbalance and to discuss related standards, definitions and mitigation techniques. Several causes of voltage unbalance on the power system and in industrial facilities are presented as well as the resulting adverse effects on the system and on equipment such as induction motors and power electronic converters and drives. Standards addressing voltage unbalance are discussed and clarified, and several mitigation techniques are suggested to correct voltage unbalance problems. This report makes apparent the importance of identifying potential unbalance problems for the benefit of both the utility and customer.

CONTENTS

1 INTRODUCTION.....	1-1
2 THE EFFECTS OF VOLTAGE UNBALANCE.....	2-1
2.1 Definitions of Percent Voltage Unbalance	2-1
2.2 Effects of Voltage Unbalance on Machines.....	2-2
2.3 Effects of Voltage Unbalance on Power Electronic Converters and Drives	2-5
3 CURRENT STANDARDS ON VOLTAGE UNBALANCE.....	3-1
4 MITIGATION TECHNIQUES.....	4-1
4.1 Mitigation Techniques on the Power System	4-1
4.2 Mitigation Techniques in a User Facility	4-2
5 CONCLUSIONS.....	5-1
6 REFERENCES.....	6-1

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1 Approximate Percent Voltage Unbalance on the U.S. Distribution System [15]	1-2
Figure 2-1 Derating Graph and Table for Induction Motors Based Upon Percent of Voltage Unbalance (from NEMA Standard MG 1-1993: <i>Motors and Generators</i>).....	2-3
Figure 2-2 Losses for Unbalanced Voltages in Case A, for 240V 25hp Induction Motor	2-3
Figure 2-3 Losses for Unbalanced Voltages in Case B, for 240V 25hp Induction Motor	2-4
Figure 2-4 Derating Graph for 240V 25hp Induction Motor Based Upon Percent of Voltage Unbalance Using Derating Method Presented in [5].....	2-4
Figure 2-5 Typical Adjustable Speed Drive (ASD) System	2-5
Figure 2-6 Case 1: 460V, 80kVA ASD (a) v_{ab} (b) i_a (c) line frequency spectrum for i_a (d) harmonic quantities in percent of the fundamental rms current, I_a	2-6
Figure 2-7 Case 2: 460V, 30kVA, ASD with 0.30% line voltage unbalance (a) v_{ab} (b) i_a (c) line frequency spectrum for i_a (d) harmonic quantities in percent of the fundamental rms current, I_a	2-7
Figure 2-8 Case 3: 460V, 30kVA ASD with 3.75% line voltage unbalance (a) v_{ab} (b) i_a (c) line frequency spectrum for i_a (d) harmonic quantities in percent of the fundamental rms current, I_a	2-8
Figure 2-9 ASD with PWM Rectifier.....	2-9
Figure 3-1 Annual Incremental Cost to the Customer for Various Percent Voltage Unbalance Limits, Showing Minimum Costs at Approximately 3% Voltage Unbalance	3-2

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1 Comparison of Voltage Unbalance Cases	2-8
---	-----

1

INTRODUCTION

In three-phase power systems the generated voltages are sinusoidal and equal in magnitude, with the individual phases 120° apart. However, the resulting power system voltages at the distribution end and the point of utilization can be unbalanced for several reasons. The nature of the unbalance includes unequal voltage magnitudes at the fundamental system frequency (under-voltages and over-voltages), fundamental phase angle deviation, and unequal levels of harmonic distortion between the phases. A major cause of voltage unbalance is the uneven distribution of single-phase loads, that can be continuously changing across a three-phase power system. Example problem areas can be rural electric power systems with long distribution lines, as well as large urban power systems where heavy single-phase demands, such as lighting loads, are imposed by large commercial facilities [1]. Single-phase traction and electric transit and railroad systems can also cause considerable unbalance on the utility three-phase system unless proper design steps are taken [2, 3, 4]. Additional causes of power system voltage unbalance can be asymmetrical transformer winding impedances, open wye and open delta transformer banks, asymmetrical transmission impedances possibly caused by incomplete transposition of transmission lines, and blown fuses on three-phase capacitor banks [1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10].

Industrial and commercial facilities may have well balanced incoming supply voltages, but unbalance can develop within the building from its own single-phase power requirements if the loads are not uniformly spread among the three phases. Within a user facility, unbalanced voltages can also be caused by unbalanced and overloaded equipment, and high impedance connections (e.g., bad or loose contacts). An example of unbalanced equipment is motor impedance unbalance, which has been seen to increase with time, possibly because of the unbalanced heating of the stator [11]. Motor unbalance can be due to a problem in manufacturing such as unequal number of turns in the windings, a misaligned rotor or an asymmetric stator. The motor winding unbalance can also occur in the repair process where failed windings are quickly and inexpensively repaired by isolating the failed turn, thus reducing the impedance of the repaired phase [12]. Thus it is prudent to be conservative with repaired motors compared to new machines.

The balancing problem becomes particularly difficult to compensate for when the unbalance is continually varying as with large industrial loads such as arc furnaces. In addition, when energy-saving schemes such as adjustable speed drives (ASDs) are employed, the customer load can vary continuously with large hourly variations. When a large number of single-phase ASDs are employed, this can result in continuously varying unbalanced loads [13, 14]. ASDs are also nonlinear loads, with most topologies containing a diode rectifier front-end that draws very non-sinusoidal currents leading to harmonic distortion. The combination of ASDs, with the proliferation of single-phase nonlinear switch-mode power supply based loads such as computers, can lead to unbalanced levels of distortion between phases which can also make the balancing process more challenging.

Introduction

Figure 1-1 presents the approximate percent voltage unbalance on the U.S. distribution system obtained from field surveys [15]. Many utilities do not keep track of their voltage unbalance in the interest of time/task prioritization, because the adverse effects are not as immediately apparent or recognized and thus unbalance is only addressed when there is a complaint. Power Quality surveys therefore tend to focus on disturbances involving the following voltage event types: sags, swells, over-voltages, under-voltages, impulses, transients, surges and interruptions (outages), which can also cause severe voltage unbalances but is not the focus of this paper [16, 17]. The problems associated with voltage unbalance will be discussed in the next section.




Figure 1-1
Approximate Percent Voltage Unbalance on the U.S. Distribution System [15]

2

THE EFFECTS OF VOLTAGE UNBALANCE

Unbalanced voltages can result in adverse effects on equipment and on the power system, which is intensified by the fact that a small unbalance in the phase voltages can cause a disproportionately larger unbalance in the phase currents [8, 9]. Under unbalanced conditions, the power system will incur more losses and heating effects, and be less stable because when the phases are balanced, the system is in a better position to respond to emergency load transfers [14]. The effect of voltage unbalance can also be severe on equipment such as induction motors, power electronic converters and adjustable speed drives (ASDs). Methods for determining the amount of voltage unbalance are presented in the next section, followed by the effects on equipment.

2.1 Definitions of Percent Voltage Unbalance

The voltage unbalance in percent is defined by the National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA) in Standards Publication No. MG 1-1993 as [18]:

$$\% \text{ Unbalance} = \frac{\text{Maximum Deviation from Average}}{\text{Average of Three Phase - to - Phase Voltages}} \times 100$$

Note that the line voltages are used in this NEMA standard as opposed to the phase voltages. When phase voltages are used, the phase angle unbalance is not reflected in the % Unbalance and therefore phase voltages are seldom used to calculate voltage unbalance.

Another index used in European standards to indicate the degree of unbalance is the voltage unbalance factor (VUF) which is the ratio of the negative sequence voltage to the positive sequence voltage represented as [19, 20, 9]:

$$\% \text{VUF} = \frac{V_2}{V_1} \times 100$$

V_1 and V_2 are the positive and negative sequence voltages respectively and can be obtained using symmetrical components as will be described in the next section.

2.2 Effects of Voltage Unbalance on Machines

The adverse effects of unbalanced voltages on induction motors has been documented since the 1950's [9]. In 1954, Williams proved that an induction motor operating under unbalanced voltage conditions would experience a reduction in efficiency [6]. In 1959, Gafford et al. showed the increased heating effects of unbalanced voltage operation of induction motors, that could lead to premature motor failure [21]. In 1963, Berndt and Schmitz presented a method for the derating of induction motors operating with unbalanced voltages in which the rated stator winding current is taken to be the maximum allowable current [5].

The adverse effects of unbalanced voltages on induction motors stem from the fact that the unbalanced voltage breaks down into two opposing components that can be described using the method of symmetrical components. Through the use of symmetrical components, an unbalanced three-phase system of voltage or current phasors can be described using three balanced systems of phasors termed positive, negative and zero sequence. However, in the case of machines, the zero sequence components will be zero since motors are typically connected delta or ungrounded wye and thus there is no path to neutral for zero sequence components to flow. Completely balanced systems would contain only positive sequence components of voltage, current and impedance.

Therefore, the unbalanced motor voltage contains positive and negative sequence components which have opposing phase sequences, i.e. 'abc' and 'acb' respectively. The positive sequence voltage produces the desired positive torque, whereas the negative sequence voltage produces an air gap flux rotating against the rotation of the rotor, thus generating an unwanted negative (reversing) torque. The result is a reduction in the net torque and speed, and the possibility of speed and torque pulsations and increased motor noise. In addition, the negative sequence component in the unbalanced voltages generates large negative sequence currents due to the low negative sequence impedance, which increases the machine losses and temperatures. At normal operating speeds, unbalanced voltages cause the line currents to be unbalanced in the order of 6 to 10 times the voltage unbalance [18]. Overall, the net effect of the voltage unbalance is reduced efficiency and decreased life of the motor.

Because of the excess heating, the NEMA Standard MG 1-1993 recommends that the rated horsepower of the motor should be multiplied by a derating factor based upon the degree of voltage unbalance as shown in Figure 2-1 [18, 22]. The curve assumes that the motor is already operating at its rated load. However, many motors do not operate at the rated load and therefore can handle more voltage unbalance, since the percent load significantly affects the effect of unbalance [23, 22]. From Figure 2-1 it can be seen that a 2% voltage unbalance requires about a 5% larger motor. A 3% voltage unbalance requires about a 12% larger motor, or motors with a service factor of 1.15.




Figure 2-1
Derating Graph and Table for Induction Motors Based Upon Percent of Voltage Unbalance
(from NEMA Standard MG 1-1993: *Motors and Generators*)

The manner in which the voltages are unbalanced has a marked effect on the losses and required derating factor [24]. There are several possible voltage unbalance conditions including: single-phase under-voltage unbalance, two-phase under-voltage unbalance, single-phase over-voltage unbalance, unequal single-phase angle displacement etc.

To illustrate the effect of different unbalance conditions, Kersting and Phillips applied two methods of voltage unbalancing to a 240V, 25hp induction motor [24]. The first method (Case A) holds the average of the line voltage magnitudes constant at 240V by holding $|V_{bc}|$ constant and increasing $|V_{ab}|$ at the same rate $|V_{ca}|$ is reduced for unbalances up to 5%. In Case B, the average voltage is again held constant at 240V by allowing $|V_{ab}|$ and $|V_{ca}|$ to increase, while $|V_{bc}|$ decreases, again for unbalances up to 5%. Figures 2-2 and 2-3 display the total percent increase in losses for the stator, rotor and total motor for Case A and B respectively [24]. In Figure 2-2 (Case A), the stator, rotor and total losses have increased 114%, 117%, and 115%, respectively. In Figure 2-3 (Case B) the increases are 104%, 106%, and 105% respectively.




Figure 2-2
Losses for Unbalanced Voltages in Case A, for 240V 25hp Induction Motor

The Effects of Voltage Unbalance




Figure 2-3
Losses for Unbalanced Voltages in Case B, for 240V 25hp Induction Motor

Figures 2-2 and 2-3 illustrate three points [24]:

1. The manner in which the voltages are unbalanced has a marked effect on the increase in losses.
2. As the voltages become more unbalanced, the rotor losses increase at a faster rate than the stator losses.
3. With high voltage unbalance, the stator and rotor circuits experience significant increase in losses, which will lead to excessive heating.

To demonstrate the effect of different forms of unbalance on appropriate derating, the same 25hp motor was studied while holding the stator current fixed at the rated value as suggested in [5], while the voltages were unbalanced for Cases A and B. The derating factor for the two cases is shown in Figure 2-4, where the Case A derating factor for 5% voltage unbalance is 0.7018, while for Case B it is 0.7773 [24]. These compare to the NEMA value of 0.75 in Figure 2-1. Thus, it is not sufficient to merely know the percent voltage unbalance, but it is equally important to know how they are unbalanced [24].




Figure 2-4
Derating Graph for 240V 25hp Induction Motor Based Upon Percent of Voltage Unbalance Using Derating Method Presented in [5]

2.3 Effects of Voltage Unbalance on Power Electronic Converters and Drives

Power electronic converters serve as the interface for many large electronic loads ranging from three-phase uninterruptible power supplies (UPSs) to motors operating at variable speeds through the use of ASDs. Most of these converters contain a diode rectifier front-end, as shown in Figure 2-5, and dc-link capacitor to convert the incoming ac voltage to a low-ripple dc voltage. In Figure 2-5, the pulse-width modulated (PWM) inverter converts the dc voltage back to variable three-phase ac. The magnitude and frequency of the PWM inverter output control the motor speed.




Figure 2-5
Typical Adjustable Speed Drive (ASD) System

Three-phase converters with diode rectifier front-ends draw nonsinusoidal currents rich in odd harmonics. For rectifier systems supplied by *balanced* utility voltages, the input current characteristic harmonics are determined by:

$$h = kq \pm 1$$

where

h = order of the harmonics

$k = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$

q = number of pulses of the rectifier system

Conventional ASDs as shown in Figure 2-5 have “six-pulse” rectifiers ($q = 6$), defined by the fact that the dc-bus voltage consists of portions of the line-to-line ac waveform and repeats with a 60° duration, i.e. containing six pulses in 360° . Therefore the characteristic current harmonics present in the ASD input will be $h = 5$ th (300 Hz), 7th (420 Hz), 11th and 13th etc., as shown in Figure 2-6 for a 460V, 80kVA ASD. Notice in Figure 2-6 the characteristic double-pulse current waveform resulting in a total harmonic distortion (THD) for the ASD input current of 79.4% [25].

The Effects of Voltage Unbalance

Under the conditions of utility voltage unbalance, the input current harmonics are not restricted to the converter characteristic harmonics, and uncharacteristic triplen harmonics can appear such as the 3rd and 9th harmonics, as demonstrated in Figures 2-7 and 2-8 with a 0.3% and 3.75% voltage unbalance respectively on a 460V, 30kVA ASD [25]. Notice that as the ASD input voltage unbalance increases, the input current becomes significantly more unbalanced and changes from a double-pulse waveform to a single-pulse waveform (Figure 2-8) due to the asymmetric conduction of the diodes. The voltage unbalance may cause excessive current in one or two phases, which can trip overload-protection circuits [26]. The increased current can also cause excess heating of the diodes and decrease the life of the capacitor or require the use of a larger capacitor. Table 2-1 gives a comparison of the three cases. Note the increase in the percent of the 3rd harmonic from 2.1% to 19.2% to 83.7% as the voltage unbalance increases. The significant 3rd harmonic can increase harmonic and resonance problems on the system as well as require larger filter ratings.




Figure 2-6

Case 1: 460V, 80kVA ASD (a) v_{ab} (b) i_a (c) line frequency spectrum for i_a (d) harmonic quantities in percent of the fundamental rms current, I_a





Figure 2-7

Case 2: 460V, 30kVA, ASD with 0.30% line voltage unbalance (a) v_{ab} (b) i_a (c) line frequency spectrum for i_a (d) harmonic quantities in percent of the fundamental rms current, I_a

The Effects of Voltage Unbalance




Figure 2-8

Case 3: 460V, 30kVA ASD with 3.75% line voltage unbalance (a) v_{ab} (b) i_a (c) line frequency spectrum for i_a (d) harmonic quantities in percent of the fundamental rms current, I_a

Table 2-1

Comparison of Voltage Unbalance Cases

	Line Voltage Unbalance	RMS Input Current I_s	60 Hz rms Line Current I_1	I_3 (% of I_1)		I_5 (% of I_1)		I_7 (% of I_1)		THD	Input PF
	%	Amps	Amps	Amps	%	Amps	%	Amps	%	%	
Case 1	—	40.66	31.84	0.67	2.1	20.86	65.5	13.31	41.8	79.4	.78
Case 2	0.30	25.04	16.15	3.10	19.2	13.87	85.9	10.69	66.2	118.6	.64
Case 3	3.75	21.96	14.33	12.0	83.7	9.53	66.5	5.16	36.0	116.2	.65




Figure 2-9
ASD with PWM Rectifier

Figure 2-9 shows an ASD with an active PWM rectifier, which is becoming more commonly offered among ASD manufacturers. Replacing the diode rectifier with an active PWM rectifier has the following advantages: regulated dc-bus which offers immunity to voltage sags and transients; unity power factor with low input current harmonics (near sinusoidal) and compliance with IEEE 519 harmonic limits; power flow in both directions which enables regenerative braking. The effects of input voltage unbalance on PWM rectifiers include increased input current distortion, the generation of 120Hz voltage ripple in the dc-link and an increase in reactive power [27, 28, 29, 30].

3

CURRENT STANDARDS ON VOLTAGE UNBALANCE

The American National Standards Institute (ANSI) standard C84.1–1995 “for Electric Power Systems and Equipment – Voltage Ratings (60 Hertz)”, was developed by the National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA). ANSI C84.1-1995 recommends that electrical supply systems should be designed and operated to limit the maximum voltage unbalance to 3% when measured at the electric-utility revenue meter under no-load conditions [15]. The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) recommends that the maximum voltage unbalance of electrical supply systems be limited to 2% [26].

Concurrently, NEMA, the developer of ANSI C84.1-1995, in the standard NEMA MG1-1993 “Motors and Generators” recommends that for voltage unbalance greater than 1%, induction motors should be derated by the appropriate factor given in Figure 2-1. IEC standards also restrict the permissible voltage unbalance on induction motors to 1% and require a derating of the machines if unbalance is greater [13, 3]. The derating factor graph in Figure 2-1 also appears in the ANSI/IEEE Std. 141-1993, “IEEE Recommended Practice for Electric Power Distribution for Industrial Plants” (Red Book), and ANSI/IEEE Std. 241-1990, “IEEE Recommended Practice for Electric Power Systems in Commercial Buildings” (Gray Book). Both the Red Book and the Gray Book indicate that some electronic equipment, such as computers, may experience problems if the voltage unbalance is more than 2 or 2.5%. They both also state that in general, single-phase loads should not be connected to three-phase low voltage circuits supplying equipment sensitive to phase-voltage unbalance. Instead, a separate circuit should be used [31, 32].

The apparent contradiction in ANSI C84.1-1995 (allowing a 3% voltage unbalance on power systems) and the NEMA MG1-1993 (recommending motor derating in the presence of greater than 1% voltage unbalance) can be explained by the following rationalization. In developing the ANSI C84.1 recommendation for voltage unbalance, economic studies were conducted indicating the following [15]:

1. Ultimately, the customer ends up paying for the utility related costs required to reduce voltage unbalance, and the manufacturing related costs required to expand a motor’s unbalanced voltage operating range,
2. Utilities’ incremental improvement costs are maximum as the voltage unbalance approaches zero and decline as the unbalance is permitted to increase,
3. Manufacturers’ incremental motor related costs are lowest at zero voltage unbalance and increase rapidly as the unbalance increases.

Current Standards on Voltage Unbalance

When these costs, excluding motor related energy costs, are combined, curves can be developed as shown in Figure 3-1, that indicate the annual incremental cost to the customer for various percent voltage unbalance limits. The optimal range of voltage unbalance occurs when the cost to the customer is minimized, which is implied in ANSI C84.1 to be at approximately 3% voltage unbalance as shown in Figure 3-1.




Figure 3-1
Annual Incremental Cost to the Customer for Various Percent Voltage Unbalance Limits, Showing Minimum Costs at Approximately 3% Voltage Unbalance

In a report to the Canadian Electrical Association (CAE) on voltage unbalance in distribution systems, Bergeron presents another commentary on the apparent contradiction in appropriate unbalance levels on power systems and in commercial and industrial facilities [2]. Until the 1970's, energy savings were not considered a significant design factor, as it is today, and computer-based assistance for designing power apparatus was unavailable. Consequently, older motor and equipment designs allowed much higher disturbance levels than can now be tolerated. Motor manufacturers and users are therefore calling for a power supply with a lower voltage unbalance level, which would allow them to operate with minimal derating i.e. that lets them use the smallest motors possible. However, Bergeron indicates that due to the increased magnitude of single-phase loads including electric train and traction loads, voltage unbalance on the power distribution system is actually increasing, with the result that power distributors would like equipment to be able to tolerate a higher voltage unbalance [2].

4

MITIGATION TECHNIQUES

While several mitigation techniques have been suggested to correct voltage unbalance, maintaining an exact voltage balance on all three phases at the point of use is virtually impossible for the following reasons [26]:

- Single-phase loads are continually connected to, and disconnected from, the power system,
- Single-phase loads are not evenly distributed between the three phases,
- Power systems may be inherently asymmetrical.

Therefore, some voltage unbalance will be present in any type of low-voltage three-phase three-wire or three-phase four-wire system [10].

4.1 Mitigation Techniques on the Power System

Unbalanced loads are the main cause of unbalanced voltages on distribution circuits and thus a great deal can be gained by attempting to distribute single-phase loads equally across all three voltage phases [1]. Electrical distribution systems can be balanced by changing the system configuration through manual and automatic feeder switching operations to transfer loads among circuits. This reconfiguration can be performed to reduce losses, and has the natural tendency to balance loading among circuits [14]. Several reconfiguration algorithms have been developed to optimize feeder switch positions using load estimation algorithms providing load information for each time point under analysis [14]. Since this is performed in a discrete manner, it cannot dynamically balance the system load.

Unbalanced impedances, including transformers and their connections, are often the next largest contributor to unbalanced voltages. Therefore, proper selection of distribution transformers is a very important step in preventing voltage unbalance, paying particular attention to the balancing of open wye and open delta transformer banks. It should also be noted that open wye-open delta banks can significantly magnify the voltage unbalance of the primary system as it converts primary system zero sequence voltage into secondary system negative sequence voltage [10]. If the amount of unbalance tends to vary with the customer load, it is a good possibility that the transformer is the cause of the unbalanced condition. One way to determine the transformer bank's contribution to voltage unbalance is to measure the voltages on the primary and the voltages on the secondary and calculate the percent unbalance at each point. A bank of three single-phase regulators can also provide some correction for unbalanced voltages, but the settings must be controlled carefully to prevent causing additional voltage unbalance.

In addition, overload conditions on the power system should always be corrected as soon as possible for a number of protection and safety reasons as well as unbalance compensation.

Mitigation Techniques

Unbalance compensation can also be achieved by means of passive power filters that balance the load impedance [33, 34], where the load current is balanced by adding reactive elements in parallel to the load. For variable loads, voltage unbalance in ac supply systems can be corrected by means of a shunt connected thyristor-controlled static VAR compensator [33, 34, 35], where again the load current is balanced by adding reactive elements in parallel to the load. Disadvantages include harmonic injection into the ac system.

A number of additional power electronic solutions have been reported in the literature [8, 13, 36]. For example, an active line conditioner was presented in [13] that dynamically corrects voltage unbalances through the injection of a correction voltage in one phase.

In years past, much attention was paid to transposing the conductors to keep the series inductive and the shunt capacitive reactances balanced on transmission lines. As the number of substations connected to transmission lines increased, it became impractical to have multiple transpositions between each station. In addition, transposed conductors increased confusion when addressing emergency fault situations. Thus, since the unbalance introduced by the incomplete transposition is not comparatively significant, modern power lines are seldom transposed, though an interchange in the positions of the conductors may be made at switching stations in order to balance the inductance of the phases more closely [37].

4.2 Mitigation Techniques in a User Facility

First, within a user facility, all overloaded equipment should be corrected. In addition, passive power filters and shunt connected static VAR compensators, similar to those described in section 4.1 for mitigation on power systems, can be used for unbalance compensation in user facilities [33, 34, 35].

Mitigation of the adverse effects of unbalanced voltage on ASDs can be achieved through the use of properly sized ac-line and dc-link reactors as demonstrated in [38]. The test results showed that connecting both the ac-line and dc-link reactors to the ASD has the greatest effect on phase-current unbalance, reducing it by more than half. Either reactor alone was shown to be enough to significantly mitigate current distortion and reduce the rms line current, thus reducing the risk of overloading the ASD. Reactors will also improve the performance of PWM rectifier ASDs in unbalanced voltage conditions. In addition, modified PWM rectifier control strategies have been proposed to reduce the dc-link ripple and input current distortion caused by unbalanced voltages [27, 28, 29, 30].

Finally, along the lines of protection, the study by Cummings' provided methods employing various relays to protect induction motors from unbalanced voltages, where the relay settings and applications depend on the motor horsepower, loading, insulation class and service factor [39]. Lerley showed in [40] that negative sequence current relays are more reliable and effective because relays measuring negative sequence voltage can lack the necessary sensitivity in some system and load configurations.

5

CONCLUSIONS

This paper has identified several causes of voltage unbalance and has described the resulting adverse effects on both the power system and on equipment such as induction motors and power electronic converters and drives. Standards addressing voltage unbalance were discussed and clarified, and several mitigation techniques were suggested to correct voltage unbalance problems. Finally, this paper has made apparent the importance of identifying potential unbalance problems, which can be accomplished through load flow studies or field measurements, and taking corrective action for the benefit of both the utility and customer.

6

REFERENCES

1. R. F. Woll, "Effect of unbalanced voltage on the operation of polyphase induction motors," *IEEE Trans. Industry Applications*, Vol. IA-11, No. 1, pp. 38-42, January/February 1975.
2. Roger Bergeron, "Voltage Unbalance on Distribution Systems - Phase I", Canadian Electrical Association, Project No. 231 D 488, Montréal, Québec, January 1989.
3. T. A. Kneschke, "Control of utility system unbalance caused by single phase electric traction," *IEEE Trans. Industry Applications*, Vol. IA-21, No. 6, pp. 1559-1570, November/December 1985.
4. T.-H. Chen, "Criteria to estimate the voltage unbalances due to high-speed railway demands," *IEEE Trans. Power Systems*, Vol. 9, No. 3, pp. 1672-1678, August 1994.
5. N. L. Schmitz and M. M. Berndt, "Derating polyphase induction motors operated with unbalanced line voltages," *IEEE Trans. Power App. Syst.*, pp. 680-686, February 1963.
6. J. W. Williams, "Operation of 3 phase induction motors on unbalanced voltages," *AIEE Trans. Power App. Syst.*, Vol. PAS-73, pp. 125-133, April 1954.
7. S. C. Seematter and E. F. Richards, "Computer analysis of 3-phase induction motor operation of rural open delta distribution systems," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.*, Vol. IA-12, pp. 479-486, September/October 1976.
8. E. Muljadi, R. Schiferl and T. A. Lipo, "Induction machine phase balancing by unsymmetrical thyristor voltage control," *IEEE Trans. Industry Applications*, Vol. IA-21, No. 4, pp. 669-678, May/June 1985.
9. C.-Y. Lee, "Effects of unbalanced voltage on the operation performance of a three-phase induction motor," *IEEE Trans. Energy Conversion*, Vol. 14, No. 2, pp. 202-208, June 1999.
10. D. R. Smith, H. R. Braunstein and J. D. Borst, "Voltage unbalance in 3- and 4-wire delta secondary systems," *IEEE Trans. Power Delivery*, Vol. 3, No. 2, pp. 733-741, April 1988.
11. J. D. Kueck, D. A. Casada and P. J. Otaduy, "A comparison of two energy efficient motors," *IEEE Trans. Energy Conversion*, Vol. 13, No. 2, pp. 140-146, June 1998.
12. A. Wallace, A. von Jouanne, P. Andrews, C. Wohlgemuth and G. Wainwright, "The effects of voltage and winding abnormalities on the measured performance of induction motors," *IAS97 Conf. Proc.*, pp. 478-452.

References

13. V. B. Bhavaraju and P. N. Enjeti, "An active line conditioner to balance voltages in a three-phase system," *IEEE Trans. Industry Applications*, Vol. 32, No. 2, pp. 287-292, March/April 1996.
14. R. P. Broadwater, A. H. Khan, H. E. Shaalan and R. E. Lee, "Time varying load analysis to reduce distribution losses through reconfiguration," *IEEE Trans. Power Delivery*, Vol. 8, No. 1, pp. 294-300, January 1993.
15. ANSI Standard Publication No. ANSI C84.1-1995, for Electric Power Systems and Equipment – Voltage Ratings (60 Hertz), Developed by NEMA.
16. D. S. Dorr, M. B. Hughes, T. M. Gruz, R. E. Jurewicz, J. L. McClaine, "Interpreting recent power quality surveys to define the electrical environment," *IEEE Trans. Industry Applications*, Vol. 33, No. 6, November/December 1997, pp. 1480-1487.
17. C. J. Melhorn, M. F. McGranaghan, "Interpretation and analysis of power quality measurements," *IEEE Trans. Industry Applications*, Vol. 31, No. 6, November/December 1995, pp. 1363-1370.
18. NEMA Standards Publication No. MG 1-1993, Motors and Generators.
19. A. I. Maswood, G. Joos, P. D. Ziogas and J. F. Lindsey, "Problems and solutions associated with the operation of phase-controlled rectifiers under unbalanced input voltage conditions," *IEEE Trans. Industry Applications*, Vol. 27, No. 4, pp. 765-772, July/August 1991.
20. J. Reeve and P. C. S. Krishnayya, "Unusual current harmonics arising from high voltage DC transmission," *IEEE Trans. Power App. Syst.*, Vol. PAS-87, No. 3, pp. 883-893, March 1968.
21. Gafford, W. C. Duesterhoeft and C. C. Mosher, "Heating of Induction Motors on Unbalanced Voltages," *AIEE Trans. Power App. Syst.*, Vol. PAS-78, pp. 282-297, June, 1959.
22. EPRI Power Electronics Applications Center, "Matching utilization voltages to motor nameplates," Power quality testing network PQTN Application No. 12, 1997.
23. A. Wallace, A. R. von Jouanne, E. J. Wiedenbrüg and P. S. Andrews, "The measured effects of under-voltage, over-voltage and unbalanced voltage on the efficiency and power factor of induction motors over wide ranges of load," PEVD Conf. Proc. 1997.
24. W. H. Kersting and W. H. Phillips, "Phase frame analysis of the effects of voltage unbalance on induction machines," *IEEE Trans. Industry Applications*, Vol. 33, No. 2, pp. 415-420, March/April 1997.
25. A. von Jouanne, J. G. Rangel, P. Enjeti and W. Gray, "Harmonics generated by variable speed AC motor drives: Case studies," PCIM Conf. Proc. 1994.
26. EPRI Power Electronics Applications Center, "Input performance of ASDs during supply voltage unbalance," Power quality testing network PQTN Brief No. 28, 1996.

27. P. N. Enjeti, S. A. Choudhury, "A new control strategy to improve the performance of a PWM AC to DC converter under unbalanced operating conditions," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, Vol. 8, pp. 493-500, October 1993.
28. H.-S. Song and K. Nam, "Dual current control scheme for PWM converter under unbalanced input voltage conditions," *IEEE Trans. Industrial Electronics*, Vol. 46, No. 5, pp. 953-959, October 1999.
29. J.-K. Kang, S.-K. Sul and S.-D. Kwanak-Ku, "Control of unbalanced voltage PWM converter using instantaneous ripple power feedback," *IEEE Conference*, pp. 503508, 1997.
30. L. Morán, P. D. Ziogas and G. Joos, "Design aspects of synchronous PWM rectifier-inverter systems under unbalanced input voltage conditions," *IEEE Trans. Industry Applications*, Vol. 28, No. 6, pp. 1286-1293, November/December 1986.
31. ANSI/IEEE Std. 141-1993, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Electric Power Distribution for Industrial Plants" (Red Book).
32. ANSI/IEEE Std. 241-1990, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Electric Power Systems in Commercial Buildings" (Gray Book).
33. A. Campos, G. Joos, P. D. Ziogas and J. F. Lindsay, "Analysis and design of a series voltage unbalance compensator based on a three-phase VSI operating with unbalanced switching functions," *IEEE Trans. Power Electronics*, Vol. 9, No. 3, pp. 269-274, May 1994.
34. J.-H. Chen, W.-J. Lee and M.-S. Chen, "Using a static var compensator to balance a distribution system," *IEEE Trans. Industry Applications*, Vol. 35, No. 2, pp. 298-304, March/April 1999.
35. T. J. E. Miller, "Reactive Power Control in Electric Systems", New York, Wiley, 1982.
36. D. Rendusara, A. von Jouanne, P. Enjeti and D. A. Paice, "Design considerations for 12-pulse diode rectifier systems operating under voltage unbalance and pre-existing voltage distortion with some corrective measures," *IEEE Trans. Industry Applications*, Vol. 32, No. 6, pp. 1293-1303, November/December 1996.
37. J. J. Grainger, W. D. Stevenson, "Power System Analysis", McGraw-Hill, Inc. 1994.
38. EPRI Power Electronics Applications Center, "Input performance of an ASD with AC and DC reactors during supply voltage unbalance," Power quality testing network PQTN Brief No. 29, 1996.
39. P. B. Cummins, J. R. Dunki-Jacobs and R. H. Kerr, "Protection of induction motors against unbalanced voltage operation," *IEEE Trans. Industry Applications*, Vol. IA-21, No. 4, pp. 778-792, May/June 1985.
40. P. Lerley, "Applying unbalance detection relays with motor loads," *IEEE Trans. Industry Applications*, Vol. 35, No. 2, pp. 689-693, May/June 1999.

References

41. M. E. Galey, "Benefits of performing unbalanced voltage calculations," *IEEE Trans. Industry Applications*, Vol. 24, No. 1, pp. 15-24, January/February 1988.
42. L. G. Grainger and R. C. Spencer, "Residual harmonics in voltage unbalanced power systems," *IEEE Trans. Industry Applications*, Vol. 30, No. 5, pp. 1398-1405, September/October 1994.
43. Y.-J. Wang and L. Pierrat, "Probabilistic modelling of current harmonics produced by an AC/DC converter under voltage unbalance," *IEEE Trans. Power Delivery*, Vol. 8, No. 4, pp. 2060-2065, October 1993.

Target:

New Electric Motor/Drive Markets and Solution

About EPRI

EPRI creates science and technology solutions for the global energy and energy services industry. U.S. electric utilities established the Electric Power Research Institute in 1973 as a nonprofit research consortium for the benefit of utility members, their customers, and society. Now known simply as EPRI, the company provides a wide range of innovative products and services to more than 1000 energy-related organizations in 40 countries. EPRI's multidisciplinary team of scientists and engineers draws on a worldwide network of technical and business expertise to help solve today's toughest energy and environmental problems.

EPRI. Powering Progress

SINGLE USER LICENSE AGREEMENT

THIS IS A LEGALLY BINDING AGREEMENT BETWEEN YOU AND THE ELECTRIC POWER RESEARCH INSTITUTE, INC. (EPRI). PLEASE READ IT CAREFULLY BEFORE REMOVING THE WRAPPING MATERIAL.

BY OPENING THIS SEALED PACKAGE YOU ARE AGREEING TO THE TERMS OF THIS AGREEMENT. IF YOU DO NOT AGREE TO THE TERMS OF THIS AGREEMENT, PROMPTLY RETURN THE UNOPENED PACKAGE TO EPRI AND THE PURCHASE PRICE WILL BE REFUNDED.

1. GRANT OF LICENSE

EPRI grants you the nonexclusive and nontransferable right during the term of this agreement to use this package only for your own benefit and the benefit of your organization. This means that the following may use this package: (I) your company (at any site owned or operated by your company); (II) its subsidiaries or other related entities; and (III) a consultant to your company or related entities, if the consultant has entered into a contract agreeing not to disclose the package outside of its organization or to use the package for its own benefit or the benefit of any party other than your company.

This shrink-wrap license agreement is subordinate to the terms of the Master Utility License Agreement between most U.S. EPRI member utilities and EPRI. Any EPRI member utility that does not have a Master Utility License Agreement may get one on request.

2. COPYRIGHT

This package, including the information contained in it, is either licensed to EPRI or owned by EPRI and is protected by United States and international copyright laws. You may not, without the prior written permission of EPRI, reproduce, translate or modify this package, in any form, in whole or in part, or prepare any derivative work based on this package.

3. RESTRICTIONS

You may not rent, lease, license, disclose or give this package to any person or organization, or use the information contained in this package, for the benefit of any third party or for any purpose other than as specified above unless such use is with the prior written permission of EPRI. You agree to take all reasonable steps to prevent unauthorized disclosure or use of this package. Except as specified above, this agreement does not grant you any right to patents, copyrights, trade secrets, trade names, trademarks or any other intellectual property, rights or licenses in respect of this package.

4. TERM AND TERMINATION

This license and this agreement are effective until terminated. You may terminate them at any time by destroying this package. EPRI has the right to terminate the license and this agreement immediately if you fail to comply with any term or condition of this agreement. Upon any termination you may destroy this package, but all obligations of nondisclosure will remain in effect.

5. DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTIES AND LIMITATION OF LIABILITIES

NEITHER EPRI, ANY MEMBER OF EPRI, ANY COSPONSOR, NOR ANY PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ACTING ON BEHALF OF ANY OF THEM:

(A) MAKES ANY WARRANTY OR REPRESENTATION WHATSOEVER, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, (I) WITH RESPECT TO THE USE OF ANY INFORMATION, APPARATUS, METHOD, PROCESS OR SIMILAR ITEM DISCLOSED IN THIS PACKAGE, INCLUDING MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, OR (II) THAT SUCH USE DOES NOT INFRINGE ON OR INTERFERE WITH PRIVATELY OWNED RIGHTS, INCLUDING ANY PARTY'S INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, OR (III) THAT THIS PACKAGE IS SUITABLE TO ANY PARTICULAR USER'S CIRCUMSTANCE; OR

(B) ASSUMES RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY DAMAGES OR OTHER LIABILITY WHATSOEVER (INCLUDING ANY CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, EVEN IF EPRI OR ANY EPRI REPRESENTATIVE HAS BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES) RESULTING FROM YOUR SELECTION OR USE OF THIS PACKAGE OR ANY INFORMATION, APPARATUS, METHOD, PROCESS OR SIMILAR ITEM DISCLOSED IN THIS PACKAGE.

6. EXPORT

The laws and regulations of the United States restrict the export and re-export of any portion of this package, and you agree not to export or re-export this package or any related technical data in any form without the appropriate United States and foreign government approvals.

7. CHOICE OF LAW

This agreement will be governed by the laws of the State of California as applied to transactions taking place entirely in California between California residents.

8. INTEGRATION

You have read and understand this agreement, and acknowledge that it is the final, complete and exclusive agreement between you and EPRI concerning its subject matter, superseding any prior related understanding or agreement. No waiver, variation or different terms of this agreement will be enforceable against EPRI unless EPRI gives its prior written consent, signed by an officer of EPRI.

© 2000 Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI), Inc. All rights reserved. Electric Power Research Institute and EPRI are registered service marks of the Electric Power Research Institute, Inc. EPRI. POWERING PROGRESS is a service mark of the Electric Power Research Institute, Inc.



Printed on recycled paper in the United States of America

00000000001000092

EPRI • 3412 Hillview Avenue, Palo Alto, California 94304 • PO Box 10412, Palo Alto, California 94303 • USA
800.313.3774 • 650.855.2121 • askepri@epri.com • www.epri.com