

Update on Use of Enriched Boric Acid in Domestic Pressurized Water Reactors

1010153



Effective December 21, 2011, this report has been made publicly available in accordance with Section 734.3(b)(3) and published in accordance with Section 734.7 of the U.S. Export Administration Regulations. As a result of this publication, this report is subject to only copyright protection and does not require any license agreement from EPRI. This notice supersedes the export control restrictions and any proprietary licensed material notices embedded in the document prior to publication.

Update on Use of Enriched Boric Acid in Domestic Pressurized Water Reactors

1010153

Technical Update, November 2005

EPRI Project Manager

J. Blok

DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTIES AND LIMITATION OF LIABILITIES

THIS DOCUMENT WAS PREPARED BY THE ORGANIZATION(S) NAMED BELOW AS AN ACCOUNT OF WORK SPONSORED OR COSPONSORED BY THE ELECTRIC POWER RESEARCH INSTITUTE, INC. (EPRI). NEITHER EPRI, ANY MEMBER OF EPRI, ANY COSPONSOR, THE ORGANIZATION(S) BELOW, NOR ANY PERSON ACTING ON BEHALF OF ANY OF THEM:

(A) MAKES ANY WARRANTY OR REPRESENTATION WHATSOEVER, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, (I) WITH RESPECT TO THE USE OF ANY INFORMATION, APPARATUS, METHOD, PROCESS, OR SIMILAR ITEM DISCLOSED IN THIS DOCUMENT, INCLUDING MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, OR (II) THAT SUCH USE DOES NOT INFRINGE ON OR INTERFERE WITH PRIVATELY OWNED RIGHTS, INCLUDING ANY PARTY'S INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, OR (III) THAT THIS DOCUMENT IS SUITABLE TO ANY PARTICULAR USER'S CIRCUMSTANCE; OR

(B) ASSUMES RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY DAMAGES OR OTHER LIABILITY WHATSOEVER (INCLUDING ANY CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, EVEN IF EPRI OR ANY EPRI REPRESENTATIVE HAS BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES) RESULTING FROM YOUR SELECTION OR USE OF THIS DOCUMENT OR ANY INFORMATION, APPARATUS, METHOD, PROCESS, OR SIMILAR ITEM DISCLOSED IN THIS DOCUMENT.

ORGANIZATION(S) THAT PREPARED THIS DOCUMENT

Electric Power Research Institute

This is an EPRI Technical Update report. A Technical Update report is intended as an informal report of continuing research, a meeting, or a topical study. It is not a final EPRI technical report.

NOTE

For further information about EPRI, call the EPRI Customer Assistance Center at 800.313.3774 or e-mail askepri@epri.com.

Electric Power Research Institute and EPRI are registered service marks of the Electric Power Research Institute, Inc.

Copyright © 2005 Electric Power Research Institute, Inc. All rights reserved.

CITATIONS

This document was prepared by

Electric Power Research Institute
3412 Hillview Ave.
Palo Alto, CA 94304

Principal Investigator
J. Blok

This document describes research sponsored by the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI).

This publication is a corporate document that should be cited in the literature in the following manner:

Update on Use of Enriched Boric Acid in Domestic Pressurized Water Reactors. EPRI, Palo Alto, CA: 2005. 1010153.

ABSTRACT

The economics of operating pressurized water reactors (PWRs) dictate use of more and more aggressive duty cycles. Increased energy production can be achieved by using increased enrichment of the nuclear fuel, which in turn requires increased amounts of neutron poison to maintain reactivity control. The added boric acid reduces the pH of the coolant, which can adversely affect the integrity of the primary materials of construction, for example by initiating primary water stress corrosion cracking (PWSCC). The pH must therefore be elevated through the addition of an alkali such as LiOH. However, above a certain concentration, lithium will also have an adverse effect on the reactor materials, effectively limiting the amount of LiOH, and hence the amount of boric acid, that can be added to the coolant.

The isotope of boron that is the effective thermal neutron absorber is ^{10}B . This isotope comprises only 19.6% of natural boron (the remainder is ^{11}B). If the boric acid is enriched in the ^{10}B isotope (enriched boric acid – EBA), then the maximum amount of chemical shim can be increased without exceeding the chemistry limits set by the acidity of boric acid or the concentration limit of lithium. With sufficient isotopic enrichment, it therefore becomes possible to operate the entire PWR fuel cycle with constant pH at the favored value of 7.2 – 7.4.

In addition, greater amounts of chemical shim are required when utilizing alternative, high-reactivity fuels such as mixed uranium/plutonium oxide (MOX) fuels. It is estimated that EBA must be used for PWRs when the core loading exceeds approximately 50% MOX fuel.

This technical update provides a status report discussing the purpose for use of EBA, domestic use of MOX and EBA, and the status of manufacturing MOX fuel in the USA.

CONTENTS

1 PURPOSE FOR USE OF EBA	1-1
2 DOMESTIC USE OF MOX AND EBA	2-1
3 STATUS OF MANUFACTURING MOX FUEL IN THE USA.....	3-1

1

PURPOSE FOR USE OF EBA

The economics of operating pressurized water reactors (PWRs) dictate use of more and more aggressive duty cycles. Increased energy production can be achieved by using increased enrichment of the nuclear fuel, which in turn requires increased amounts of neutron poison to maintain reactivity control. In the conventional PWR, natural boron, as boric acid, is introduced to the primary coolant to serve as a neutron absorber, or chemical shim. The added boric acid reduces the pH of the coolant, which can adversely affect the integrity of the primary materials of construction, for example by initiating primary water stress corrosion cracking (PWSCC). The pH must therefore be elevated through the addition of an alkali such as LiOH. However, above a certain concentration, lithium will also have an adverse effect on the reactor materials, effectively limiting the amount of LiOH, and hence the amount of boric acid, that can be added to the coolant.

Studies have shown that optimum PWR chemistry from the viewpoint of material integrity is to operate at a constant pH throughout the fuel cycle, preferably as high as pH=7.4, but certainly at pH=7.2. The advantages of this chemistry strategy have recently been demonstrated at the Comanche Peak PWR of TXU. However, it should be noted that achieving such a high pH early in the fuel cycle requires addition of LiOH beyond the conventional limits on lithium concentration. Hence the more customary practice is to begin the fuel cycle at a lower pH dictated by the lithium concentration limit, then holding the pH constant at 7.2 (or 7.4) for as much of the fuel cycle as possible once the high BOC boric acid concentration has been reduced.

The isotope of boron that is the effective thermal neutron absorber is ^{10}B . This isotope comprises only 19.6% of natural boron (the remainder is ^{11}B). Boron-10 has a cross section of 4017 barns for absorption of thermal neutrons, whereas the cross section of ^{11}B is less than 1 barn. If the boric acid is enriched in the ^{10}B isotope, therefore, the maximum amount of chemical shim can be increased without exceeding the chemistry limits set by the acidity of boric acid or the concentration limit of lithium. With sufficient isotopic enrichment, it therefore becomes possible to operate the entire PWR fuel cycle with constant pH at the favored value of 7.2 – 7.4.

Greater amounts of chemical shim are required when utilizing alternative, high-reactivity fuels such as mixed uranium/plutonium oxide (MOX) fuels. For these fuels, therefore, use of EBA becomes necessary for appropriate reactivity control within the constraints of primary water chemistry. It is estimated that EBA must be used for PWRs when the core loading exceeds approximately 50% MOX fuel.

In the USA, an overall goal of using MOX is the consumption and destruction of the weapons-grade plutonium that was amassed during the Cold War. International agreements are in place that the former Soviet Union countries will likewise dispose of their store of weapons-grade plutonium. Another benefit of MOX is that its use has potential for providing a renewable source of fissile material for use in future power generation.

Currently, MOX fuel is routinely used only in Europe, and these plants utilize EBA for reactivity control¹. The EBA used in these plants is generally enriched to 27-30% ¹⁰B. Over 30 European reactors are currently using MOX, and another 20 have been licensed to do so. Most reactors that use MOX make up approximately 1/3 of their core with MOX assemblies, although in some cases as much as 50% MOX has been loaded. Japan is also planning extensive use of MOX fuel; estimating that 1/3 of its reactors will use MOX by 2010.

¹ Cf: EPRI Report #1003124, An Evaluation of Enriched Boric Acid in European PWRs, October 2001.

2

DOMESTIC USE OF MOX AND EBA

It should be obvious that the enrichment process will increase the cost per unit mass of EBA-based boric acid significantly compared to boric acid made with natural boron². A detailed cost analysis is required for each particular case to determine whether recovery and reuse of EBA is favored over the use-and-replace option. As pointed out above, chemistry optimization with natural boric acid is possible for PWRs loading conventional enriched uranium oxide fuel³. Therefore, considering the relatively low market price of uranium fuels, there has been little incentive in the USA to expend the engineering and capital cost required to use, recover, and reuse EBA. But use of EBA must be considered in the future, contingent on the widespread adoption of mixed U/Pu oxide (MOX) fuel.

Whichever EBA addition/recovery option is chosen, a Utility contemplating use of EBA must also engineer the plant's control system to reflect the varying demand for neutron poison as the fuel cycle progresses⁴.

At present, Duke Energy is evaluating use of MOX fuel in its Catawba 1 reactor. One incentive is the very favorable price for MOX fuel promoted by the US Government. The NRC issued a permit to Duke Energy on 5 March 2005 to use up to four MOX assemblies in either Unit 1 or Unit 2 of the Catawba PWRs. The Utility loaded four lead-test MOX assemblies during the Spring 2005 refueling outage of Catawba 1, using fuel manufactured by Framatome ANP (now Areva). The fuel cycle commenced on 5 June 2005. Contingent on favorable technical and regulatory results from this limited exposure of MOX fuel, the Utility is anticipating a batch loading of MOX in the Spring 2015 refueling outage.

The few MOX assemblies currently under irradiation in the Catawba 1 core do not require modification of the plant's primary chemistry, thus use of EBA is not required, and the Utility is operating the cycle under the conventional water chemistry guidelines of Ref. 3. However, if the batch loading of MOX contemplated for 2015 is sufficiently large, use of EBA will most likely be required, and the Utility must evaluate the options and complete engineering and plant modifications during the intervening time interval.

² Cf: The Boron Report, Vol 1 No. 2, August 2002. Eagle-Picher Industries, Inc.

³ Cf: EPRI report #1002884, PWR Primary Water Chemistry Guidelines, Rev. 5, Vol. 1 & 2, September 2003.

⁴ Cf: US Patent #5,271,052, 14 December 1993.

3

STATUS OF MANUFACTURING MOX FUEL IN THE USA

Four plants are currently producing MOX fuel in commercial quantities. Two of these are in France, one in Belgium, and one in the UK. The total consumption of plutonium for manufacturing reactor fuel now exceeds 400 metric tons⁵.

In the USA, the NRC has issued the Final Environmental Impact Statement for a proposed MOX fuel fabrication facility at the Savannah River Site in South Carolina⁶. The NRC staff issued the Final Safety Evaluation Report on the proposed construction, and on 30 March 2005 issued a Construction Authorization to the Duke Cogema Stone & Webster consortium that applied to construct and operate the MOX facility at the Savannah River Site.

⁵ Nuclear Issues Briefing Paper 43, July 2003.

⁶ Mixed Oxide Exchange, Vol 5 No.1, NUREG/BR-0284, March 2005.

WARNING: This Document contains information classified under U.S. Export control regulations as restricted from export outside the United States. You are under an obligation to ensure that you have a legal right to obtain access to this information and to ensure that you obtain an export license prior to any re-export of this information. Special restrictions apply to access by anyone that is not a United States citizen or a Permanent United States resident. For further information regarding your obligations, please see the information contained below in the section entitled "Export Control Restrictions."

Export Control Restrictions


Access to and use of EPRI Intellectual Property is granted with the specific understanding and requirement that responsibility for ensuring full compliance with all applicable U.S. and foreign export laws and regulations is being undertaken by you and your company. This includes an obligation to ensure that any individual receiving access hereunder who is not a U.S. citizen or permanent U.S. resident is permitted access under applicable U.S. and foreign export laws and regulations. In the event you are uncertain whether you or your company may lawfully obtain access to this EPRI Intellectual Property, you acknowledge that it is your obligation to consult with your company's legal counsel to determine whether this access is lawful. Although EPRI may make available on a case-by-case basis an informal assessment of the applicable U.S. export classification for specific EPRI Intellectual Property, you and your company acknowledge that this assessment is solely for informational purposes and not for reliance purposes. You and your company acknowledge that it is still the obligation of you and your company to make your own assessment of the applicable U.S. export classification and ensure compliance accordingly. You and your company understand and acknowledge your obligations to make a prompt report to EPRI and the appropriate authorities regarding any access to or use of EPRI Intellectual Property hereunder that may be in violation of applicable U.S. or foreign export laws or regulations.

The Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI)

The Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI), with major locations in Palo Alto, California, and Charlotte, North Carolina, was established in 1973 as an independent, nonprofit center for public interest energy and environmental research. EPRI brings together members, participants, the Institute's scientists and engineers, and other leading experts to work collaboratively on solutions to the challenges of electric power. These solutions span nearly every area of electricity generation, delivery, and use, including health, safety, and environment. EPRI's members represent over 90% of the electricity generated in the United States. International participation represents nearly 15% of EPRI's total research, development, and demonstration program.

Together...Shaping the Future of Electricity

© 2005 Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI), Inc. All rights reserved. Electric Power Research Institute and EPRI are registered service marks of the Electric Power Research Institute, Inc.

 Printed on recycled paper in the United States of America

1010153

ELECTRIC POWER RESEARCH INSTITUTE

3420 Hillview Avenue, Palo Alto, California 94304-1395 • PO Box 10412, Palo Alto, California 94303-0813 • USA
800.313.3774 • 650.855.2121 • askepri@epri.com • www.epri.com