

### PWR Zinc Addition Effectiveness Assessment: Baseline Surface Activity Concentrations by Gamma Scanning at Davis-Besse

3002001688

PWR Zinc Addition Effectiveness Assessment: Baseline Surface Activity Concentrations by Gamma Scanning at Davis-Besse

> This document does <u>NOT</u> meet the requirements of 10CFR50 Appendix B, 10CFR Part 21, ANSI N45.2-1977 and/or the intent of ISO-9001 (1994).

EPRI Project Manager R. Reid



3420 Hillview Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94304-1338 USA

PO Box 10412 Palo Alto, CA 94303-0813 USA

> 800.313.3774 650.855.2121

askepri@epri.com www.epri.com **3002001688** Technical Update, June 2013

#### **DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTIES AND LIMITATION OF LIABILITIES**

THIS DOCUMENT WAS PREPARED BY THE ORGANIZATION(S) NAMED BELOW AS AN ACCOUNT OF WORK SPONSORED OR COSPONSORED BY THE ELECTRIC POWER RESEARCH INSTITUTE, INC. (EPRI). NEITHER EPRI, ANY MEMBER OF EPRI, ANY COSPONSOR, THE ORGANIZATION(S) BELOW, NOR ANY PERSON ACTING ON BEHALF OF ANY OF THEM:

(A) MAKES ANY WARRANTY OR REPRESENTATION WHATSOEVER, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, (I) WITH RESPECT TO THE USE OF ANY INFORMATION, APPARATUS, METHOD, PROCESS, OR SIMILAR ITEM DISCLOSED IN THIS DOCUMENT, INCLUDING MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, OR (II) THAT SUCH USE DOES NOT INFRINGE ON OR INTERFERE WITH PRIVATELY OWNED RIGHTS, INCLUDING ANY PARTY'S INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, OR (III) THAT THIS DOCUMENT IS SUITABLE TO ANY PARTICULAR USER'S CIRCUMSTANCE; OR

(B) ASSUMES RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY DAMAGES OR OTHER LIABILITY WHATSOEVER (INCLUDING ANY CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, EVEN IF EPRI OR ANY EPRI REPRESENTATIVE HAS BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES) RESULTING FROM YOUR SELECTION OR USE OF THIS DOCUMENT OR ANY INFORMATION, APPARATUS, METHOD, PROCESS, OR SIMILAR ITEM DISCLOSED IN THIS DOCUMENT.

REFERENCE HEREIN TO ANY SPECIFIC COMMERCIAL PRODUCT, PROCESS, OR SERVICE BY ITS TRADE NAME, TRADEMARK, MANUFACTURER, OR OTHERWISE, DOES NOT NECESSARILY CONSTITUTE OR IMPLY ITS ENDORSEMENT, RECOMMENDATION, OR FAVORING BY EPRI.

THE FOLLOWING ORGANIZATION, UNDER CONTRACT TO EPRI, PREPARED THIS REPORT:

#### **Radiological Solutions Inc.**

THE TECHNICAL CONTENTS OF THIS DOCUMENT WERE **NOT** PREPARED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE EPRI NUCLEAR QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM MANUAL THAT FULFILLS THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR 50, APPENDIX B AND 10 CFR PART 21, ANSI N45.2-1977 AND/OR THE INTENT OF ISO-9001 (1994). USE OF THE CONTENTS OF THIS DOCUMENT IN NUCLEAR SAFETY OR NUCLEAR QUALITY APPLICATIONS REQUIRES ADDITIONAL ACTIONS BY USER PURSUANT TO THEIR INTERNAL PROCEDURES.

#### NOTE

For further information about EPRI, call the EPRI Customer Assistance Center at 800.313.3774 or e-mail askepri@epri.com.

Electric Power Research Institute, EPRI, and TOGETHER...SHAPING THE FUTURE OF ELECTRICITY are registered service marks of the Electric Power Research Institute, Inc.

Copyright © 2013 Electric Power Research Institute, Inc. All rights reserved.

### Acknowledgments

The following organization, under contract to the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI), prepared this report:

Radiological Solutions Inc. 1840 Moen Ave., Suite A Rockdale, IL 60436

Principal Investigator R. Kohlmann

This report describes research sponsored by EPRI.

EPRI acknowledges the support of the following organizations:

FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station EPRI Zinc Users Group

This publication is a corporate document that should be cited in the literature in the following manner:

> PWR Zinc Addition Effectiveness Assessment: Baseline Surface Activity Concentrations by Gamma Scanning at Davis-Besse. EPRI, Palo Alto, CA: 2013. 3002001688.

### Abstract

Gamma spectroscopy measurements of the hot and cold leg primary loop piping were made to determine the major contributors to the piping dose rates during Refueling Outage 17. This was the second gamma scan campaign at Davis-Besse as part of a program to follow the changes in piping oxide composition with zinc addition. The initial gamma scans were performed during Maintenance Outage 17M just prior to the initiation of zinc injection to establish baseline conditions. The current data set will document conditions following the initiation of zinc addition. Performing gamma scans during future outages will enable the site and the PWR fleet worldwide to monitor the results of implemented source term reduction initiatives and prioritize future source term reduction efforts.

#### Keywords

Cold leg Depleted zinc addition Gamma spectroscopy Hot leg

### **Executive Summary**

Performing gamma scans is an important tool in understanding radionuclide incorporation into the piping oxide film and its effect on piping dose rates. Gamma scanning is also a tool to monitor the effectiveness of source term minimization initiatives.

Gamma scanning is being used at Davis-Besse to follow the changes in the piping radionuclide composition and concentration as depleted zinc addition is implemented. The first post-zinc addition gamma scans were performed during Refueling Outage 17. Gamma scan measurements collected during Davis-Besse's Maintenance Outage 17M serve as the baseline data. Davis-Besse began depleted zinc addition on March 12, 2012, and continued until April 28, 2012, just prior to the refueling outage.

Additional gamma scans during future outages at Davis-Besse will allow for further trending and understanding of the effects of zinc addition and steam generator replacement at existing plants. Gamma scans will also allow the plant to determine whether these strategies are beneficial to aid the plant in becoming top quartile in terms of dose rate mitigation and technologies.

Table of Contents

Section 1: Introduction	Introduction	<b>1-1</b>
Section 2:	Scope	2-1
Section 3: Gamma S Descriptic Detect Detect Data	<b>Technical Approach</b> Scanning Methodology on of Equipment tor tor Shield Acquisition and Analysis System	<b>3-1</b> 
Section 4:	Measurement Locations	4-1
Hot Leg RCP Sucti Comparis Davis Bes	on Piping on Between 17M and RO17 Data se Comparison to Other Plants	
Appendix / and ( Eu-152 C 1-1 Hot Le 1-1 Hot Le 1-1-1 RCF 1-1-2 RCF 1-1-2 RCF 1-2 Hot Le 1-2 Hot Le 1-2 Hot Le 1-2-1 RCF 1-2-2 RCF 1-2-2 RCF	A: Original Radionuclide Data S Correction Factors heck Source eg Piping Plug 2 eg Piping Plug 0 ? Suction Piping Plug 2 ? Suction Piping Plug 0 ? Suction Piping Plug 0 eg Piping Plug 2 eg Piping Plug 0 ? Suction Piping Plug 2 ? Suction Piping Plug 2 ? Suction Piping Plug 2 ? Suction Piping Plug 0 ? Suction Piping Plug 0 ? Suction Piping Plug 0	iheets A-1 A-2 A-5 A-8 A-11 A-14 A-14 A-17 A-20 A-23 A-23 A-26 A-29 A-32 A-35 A-38

# List of Figures

Figure 3-1: Detector and Shield Assembly3-	2
Figure 4-1: Hot Leg Piping Gamma Scan Target Locations and Contact Dose Rates, Piping Full and Insulated4-	.1
Figure 4-2: RCP - Cold Legs/Discharge and Cross-under /Suction piping Gamma Scan Target Locations and Contact Dose Rates4-	-2
Figure 5-1: Percent Contribution to Piping Dose Rate by Nuclide (HL = Hot Leg, SU = Suction Piping)5-	4
Figure 5-2: Davis Besse Reactor Coolant Radiocobalt Trends Following Zinc Addition)5-	-6

# List of Tables

Table 5-1: Davis Besse Refueling Outage 17 Gamma Scan Data   Summary   5-1
Table 5-2: Davis Besse RFO17 Hot Leg and Cross-under/RCPSuction Piping Percent Activity by Nuclide Summary
Table 5-3: RCS Loop Piping Dose Rate Summary for RFO175-3
Table 5-4: Davis Besse RFO17 Percent Contribution to RCS Loop      Piping Dose Rate by Nuclide      5-3
Table 5-5: Comparison Between Maintenance Outage 17M andRefueling Outage RO17 Data
Table 5-6: Gamma Scan Deposited Activity and Dose Rate   Comparison Data

## Section 1: Introduction

#### Introduction

Davis Besse is a two loop, Babcock and Wilcox Pressurized Water Reactor (PWR) rated at 913 MWe. Cooling water is supplied from Lake Erie and recirculated approximately 4 times in a hyperbolic cooling tower. Davis Besse is scheduled to replace their Alloy 600-tubed steam generators with Alloy 690-tubed steam generators during refueling outage, RO18. Davis Besse has been operating with constant pH of 7.2 for the last two fuel cycles.

Davis Besse started injecting depleted zinc acetate for dose rate mitigation (5 parts per billion (ppb) in the primary loop) on March 12, 2012, just prior to refueling outage RO17.

Since initial commercial operation in 1977, Davis Besse has completed seventeen fuel cycles. The current fuel cycle (seventeen) started June 29, 2010 and ended on May 6, 2012. FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company (FENOC), in conjunction with and funded by the EPRI Zinc Users Group, elected to perform a gamma spectroscopic assessment of the primary system to determine the isotopic composition of the piping oxide films and establish baseline conditions prior to zinc addition as well as further document changes in the piping oxide layer radionuclide composition under zinc addition.

This report presents the results of the Davis Besse gamma scanning assessment performed May 10-11, 2012, during refueling outage RO17.

## Section 2: Scope

Gamma spectroscopic measurements were made on the primary system piping at Davis Besse using RSI's portable gamma spectroscopy system. RSI measured specific activity levels in the 42-inch hot leg piping for steam generators 1-1 and 1-2 and the four 33-inch reactor coolant pump suction piping for reactor coolant pumps 1-1-1, 1-1-2, 1-2-1, and 1-2-2. The reactor coolant pump suction is also referred to as crossunder piping at other PWR plants. The reactor coolant pump identification numbers are used throughout to identify cross-under and cold leg piping sections. Concurrent directional probe dose rate surveys were made at the gamma scan locations. Directional probe dose rate surveys were also made on the 1-1-1, 1-1-2, 1-2-1, and 1-2-2 cold leg piping.

The measurements made during head replacement outage 17M provides baseline isotopic activity data for interpreting and understanding dose rates observed at shutdown prior to initiating zinc addition. This data from recent measurements provides a basis for assessment following the change in piping oxide layer radionuclide composition with zinc addition and subsequent changes in out-of-core radiation level buildup.

This report presents the results of the Davis Besse gamma scanning assessment performed May 10-11, 2012, during refueling outage RO17. Historical dose rate data (by others) should be used for assessing activity buildup trends.

# Section 3: Technical Approach

### Gamma Scanning Methodology

Gamma scans of reactor piping are made using a portable gamma spectroscopy system that consists of a gamma detector, detector shield, and analysis system. The shielded detector is positioned adjacent to the target pipe and the remote analyzer is set up in a low radiation area. Radiation from the scanned target point reaches the detector through an aperture plug installed in the front of the shield. Stray radiation from other sources is greatly attenuated by a tungsten shield surrounding the detector. Thus, the gamma spectrum acquired by the analyzer essentially represents only the radioactivity on the scanned pipe.

Each target point is scanned twice to correct for background radiation that does penetrate the shield. The first scan is performed with a collimator (plug 2) inserted into the front of the shield. A second scan is then made at the same location using a solid plug (plug 0) to measure and quantify high energy photons that penetrate the shield. The net activity of the target point is determined by subtracting the background measurement from activity levels measured with the collimator.

Concurrent dose rate measurements are made using a Ludlum 2241 survey meter and an Eberline HP-220A shielded probe. This meter's detector is encased in a hemispherical tungsten alloy shield that provides approximately 10x attenuation of 1,332 keV gamma rays in a  $2\pi$  geometry.

#### **Description of Equipment**

#### Detector

The portable gamma spectroscopy system is designed around a high purity, coaxial, germanium detector (Model GEM-08180-S) manufactured by EG&G Ortec. The germanium crystal's efficiency is approximately seven percent. The detector is designed to mate with a custom shield (described below) made of sintered tungsten. In this detector, the coaxial crystal is located concentrically in a vacuum cryostat approximately 5 mm from the outer surface of the cryostat's end cap.

### **Detector Shield**

The detector shield and collimator plugs are manufactured from sintered tungsten alloy having a density of approximately 18.4 g/cc. The main shield and collimator plugs provide a minimum equivalent shielding thickness of four inches (~10 cm) of lead in all directions ( $4\pi$  spherical geometry). Complete shielding is accomplished by means of the main shield and collimator plugs surrounding the cryostat, combined with a "shadow shield" located inside of the cryostat behind the germanium crystal. The external shield and collimator plug attenuate incident radiation from the front and the sides and the internal "shadow shield" attenuates radiation from the rear of the detector. The detector and shield assembly, shown attached to a pipe, is depicted in Figure 3-1.

### Data Acquisition and Analysis System

The gamma spectroscopy system uses a Wilkinson analog-to-digital converter for data acquisition. Computerized peak search and analysis is performed by Canberra hardware and software (Genie 2000) interfaced to a computer system. Using efficiency calibration curves applicable to the geometry of the target, the system determines the specific activity levels (in  $\mu$ Ci/cm<sup>2</sup>) of the pipe being scanned.





# Section 4: Measurement Locations

The monitoring locations for this assessment were selected based on accessibility and potential data quality. The measurements were taken at the same locations as the data set collected during outage 17M. Dose rate measurements were made at contact with the piping full and insulation on for all locations. Figure 4-1 and Figure 4-2 summarize the piping target locations.

Gamma scan data was collected for the steam generator (SG) 1-1 and 1-2, 42-inch diameter hot leg piping and the 33-inch RCP suction piping (four locations) from

each of the reactor coolant pumps. Photographs of the target locations were documented in RSI report 4366, in November 2011, and have been omitted from this report for brevity.

RSI normally hangs the shield and detector on a bracket that mounts to the piping. This was not possible without erecting scaffolding to install the bracket straps. The shield/detector assembly was supported on a platform adjacent to the piping at the same distance from the pipe as if the bracket were installed.









# Section 5: Results and Discussion

The primary system piping gamma scan results are summarized in Table 5-1. These results are corrected for the actual plant pipe wall thickness compared to that of the piping used for calibration. All data is decay corrected to the time of the shutdown. The reactor coolant pump piping and the hot leg piping were insulated and full of water.

#### Table 5-1

Davis Besse Refueling Outage	17 Gamma	Scan Data	Summary
------------------------------	----------	-----------	---------

			Net	Activity (	μ <mark>Ci/cm²</mark> )	)	
Nuclide	Energy (keV)	1-1 HL	1-2 HL	RCP 1-1-1 SU	RCP 1-1-2 SU	RCP 1-2-1 SU	RCP 1-2-2 SU
Cr-51	320	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Nb-95	766	0.33	0.33	ND	ND	ND	ND
Mn-54	835	0.17	0.32	ND	0.07	ND	0.28
Co-58	811	15.12	20.36	5.29	4.75	6.05	9.61
Fe-59	Average	ND	Trace	ND	ND	ND	Trace
Co-60	Average	2.43	4.11	2.73	2.48	3.14	4.86
Zn-65	1115	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Cs-137	662	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Total		18.05	25.11	8.02	7.30	9.20	14.76
Contact Dose Rate (mR/hr) <sup>(1)</sup>		7	6	15	16	27	26

(1) Contact dose rate determined using Ludlum 2241 and HP 220A shielded probe with piping full and insulation on

SU = RCP Suction Piping

HL = Hot Leg

ND = Not Detected

Trace = Small peak in spectrum but too small for software to quantify or uncertainty was greater than the result.

#### Hot Leg

Co-58 and Co-60 were the predominant isotopes identified in the hot leg piping films. The Co-58 activity was 82% of the total activity and Co-60 represented 15% of the total activity for the combined hot leg piping locations. Nb-95 and Mn-54 contributed the balance of the activity. There were trace amounts of Fe-59 and La-140 identified in the spectra for the 1-1 hot leg location, but they were too small to quantify. Although Co-60 was only 15% of the hot leg activity, it corresponds to 34% of the piping dose rate. Co-58 corresponds to approximately 64% of the piping dose rate. Nb-95 and Mn-54 each contribute less than 1% to the piping dose rate.

#### Table 5-2

Davis Besse RFO17 Hot Leg and Cross-under/RCP Suction Piping Percent Activity by Nuclide Summary

Nuclide	Energy	Energy Percentage of Total Activity									
	(keV)	1-1 HL	1-2 HL	RCP 1-1-1 SU	RCP 1-1-2 SU	RCP 1-2-1 SU	RCP 1-2-2 SU				
Cr-51	320.1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
Nb-95	765.8	1.83	1.33	ND	ND	ND	ND				
Mn-54	834.8	0.92	1.26	ND	0.93	ND	1.93				
Co-58	810.8	83.79	81.06	65.96	65.08	65.82	65.14				
Fe-59	Average	ND	Trace	ND	ND	ND	Trace				
Co-60	Average	13.46	16.35	34.04	33.99	34.18	32.93				
Zn-65	1115.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
То	tal	100	100	100	100	100	100				
Dose Rate (mR/hr)		7	6	15	16	27	26				

### **RCP Suction Piping**

Co-58 is the predominant radionuclide in the RCP suction piping corrosion film(s) accounting for approximately 65% of the total activity. Co-60 is the next largest contributor at 34%, and Mn-54 contributes the remaining 1%. Co-60, with two high energy lines with a high gamma yield, corresponds to approximately 66% of the piping dose rate, whereas Co-58's contribution is approximately at 33%.

Table 5-3 summarizes the contact dose rate data collected at the gamma scan target with a shielded probe.

The dose rate contribution data for each gamma scan location is summarized in Table 5-4 and Figure 5-1.

Table 5-3 RCS Loop Piping Dose Rate Summary for RFO17

Hot Leg Target	Dose Rate mR/hr	Cold Leg Target	Dose Rate mR/hr	Cross- Under/RCP Suction Pipe Target	Dose Rate mR/hr
1-1 HL	7	1-1-1 CL	29	1-1-1 SU	15
1-2 HL	6	1-1-2 CL	34	1-1-2 SU	16
		1-2-1 CL	33	1-2-1 SU	27
		1-2-2 CL	24	1-2-2 SU	26
Avg. HL	6.5	Avg. CL	30	Avg. SU	21

CL = Cold Leg

Table 5-4

Davis Besse RFO17 Percent Contribution to RCS Loop Piping Dose Rate by Nuclide

Nuclide	Energy	Percent of Dose Rate										
	keV	1-1 HL	1-2 HL	RCP 1-1-1 SU	RCP 1-1-2 SU	RCP 1-2-1 SU	RCP 1-2-2 SU					
Mn-54	834.8	0.8	1.0	ND	0.5	ND	1.0					
Co-58	810.8	66.8	61.8	33.1	32.7	33.0	33.3					
Fe-59	Average	ND	Trace	ND	ND	ND	Trace					
Co-60	Average	31.3	36.4	66.9	66.8	67.0	65.7					
Zn-65	1115.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND					
Nb-95	766	1.1	0.7	ND	ND	ND	ND					
То	tal	100	100	100	100	100	100					
Dose Rate (mR/hr)		7	6	15	16	27	26					



Figure 5-1

Percent Contribution to Piping Dose Rate by Nuclide (HL = Hot Leg, SU = Suction Piping)

	Con	tact		RCP SU/HL Specific Activity, μCi/cm <sup>2</sup>										
Outag e	Do Ra mR	se te, /hr	Co	-58	Mn	-54	Zn-	65	Fe-	5 <b>9</b> <sup>(1)</sup>	Co-	<b>60</b> <sup>(2)</sup>	Nb-	95
	RCP SU	HL	RCP SU	HL	RCP SU	HL	RCP SU	HL	RCP SU	HL	RCP SU	HL	RCP SU	HL
1 <i>7</i> M	17 <sup>(3)</sup>	29	13.33	14.70	0.15	0.20	ND	ND	Trace	Trace	4.00	2.47	ND	0.16
RO17	6.5	21	6.43	17.74	0.18	0.25	ND	ND	Trace	Trace	3.30	3.27	ND	0.33

(1) Average of the 1099 and 1291 photopeaks

(2) Average of the 1173 and 1332 photopeaks

(3) Piping empty with insulation on

ND = not detected

Trace = peak identified but too small to quantify

#### Comparison Between 17M and RO17 Data

Hot and cold leg data are summarized in Table 5-5. The following observations are made from that data

- No Zn-65 observed in piping oxide films.
  - No Zn-65 in the oxide was expected based on short duration of zinc addition.
- There is a significant decrease in RCP suction activity, with Co-58 decreasing the most. The contribution to piping dose rate from Co-58 decreased from 50% to 33% between 17M and RO17 data sets. Co-60 increased from 50% in outage 17M to 67% in RO17
- There is a slight increase in Hot Leg activity for both Co-58 and Co-60. The contribution to piping dose rate from Co-58 decreased from 67 to 63% and Co-60 increased from 32 to 34% of for outage 17M and RO17 respectively.
- It is too early to gauge impact of zinc addition.

#### **Davis Besse Comparison to Other Plants**

Davis Besse data is compared to other plant gamma scan data in Table 5-5. Note that the majority of the data is from 4-loop Westinghouse plants. The data for original Alloy 600 steam generators and for Alloy 690 is noted as applicable.

As noted earlier, Davis Besse recently began injecting depleted zinc to mitigate radiocobalt incorporation into the primary piping oxide film. Depleted zinc acetate was added at a rate of approximately 20 grams of zinc per day from March 12, 2012 to April 28, 2012. A total of 937 grams of zinc were added. Due to the relatively short addition period, soluble zinc levels never reached a concentration of 1 ppb in the reactor coolant. The zinc added to date has likely been tied up with and/or deposited on oxide deposits in the primary system including fuel deposits. It is expected that soluble zinc will be observed in the primary coolant with additional mass loading in the upcoming fuel cycle. As seen in Table 5-5, the radiocobalt levels in the piping oxide layer have decreased slightly yet not significantly enough between outages 17M and RFO17 to determine any causal effect of zinc addition. Continuation of zinc addition should result in decreased radiocobalt incorporation into the oxide layer and stabilize radiocobalts on primary surfaces.

The reactor coolant Co-58 and Co-60 levels have increased at many PWR's at the onset of zinc addition. The competition for sites in the oxide spinel between zinc and cobalt is believed to be the reason for the increases. Refer to Figure 5-2 for the trend data at Davis Besse. With the limited zinc exposure during this first partial cycle of zinc injection (937 grams added), detailed analysis of any increase in radiocobalt concentration would be premature, but there does seem to be a small impact of zinc injection on reactor coolant Co-58 and Co-60 concentrations.



Figure 5-2: Davis Besse Reactor Coolant Radiocobalt Trends Following Zinc Addition

				SG	Zn	Average Dose		Average Hot and Cold Leg Net Activity $\mu Ci/cm^2$					Co-58
Plant	Cycle	EFPY	Loops	Alloy	Add	d	Co-58	Fe-591	Co-60 <sup>2</sup>	Zn-65	Nb-95	/ Co-60	
	10			(				10.01	<b>0</b> /0	4.50	) /D		( 10
Plant 'A' Unit-2°	12		4	600	No	54	51	18.81	0.43	4.50	ND	ND	4.18
Plant 'A' Unit-2 <sup>3</sup>	13		4	600	Yes	40	25	7.01	0.39	2.59	ND	0.13	2.71
Plant 'A' Unit-1 <sup>4</sup>	13		4	690	Yes	83	106	22.33	0.34	1.65	ND	0.07	13.53
Plant 'B' Unit-1 <sup>4</sup>	14	17.4	4	690	No	78	85	24.49	0.10	1.50	ND	0.12	16.33
Plant 'B' Unit-1 <sup>3</sup>	15		4	690	No	32	38	21.50	0.12	2.37	ND	0.21	9.07
Plant 'B' Unit-2 <sup>8</sup>	16	21.4	4	600	Yes	47.8	45.3	5.77	0.22	1.26	0.02	0.10	4.58
Plant 'C' Unit -1	18	24.6	2	690	No	12	21	3.92	0.01	1.72	0.54	0.11	2.28
Plant 'D' Unit-3 <sup>3</sup>	16		2	600	No	8.1	10.9	10.00	2.86	1.21	ND	0.04	8.26
Plant 'E' Unit-1⁵	18	24.9	2	600	Yes	10.1	8.1	5.09	ND	2.32	ND	ND	2.19
Plant 'F' Unit-1 <sup>3,5</sup>	23	22.4	4	690	No	4.1	12.5	1.20	0.04	1.18	ND	ND	1.02
Plant 'F' Unit-2 <sup>3,7</sup>	19	21.3	4	690	No	21.5	21.6	8.18	0.04	3.90	0.04	0.22	2.10
Plant 'G' Unit-1	20	23.8	3	690	Yes	126	20 <sup>6</sup>	3.83	0.09	2.41	0.25	0.10	1.59
Plant 'G' Unit-2 <sup>4</sup>	15	19.5	3	600	Yes	46	27	4.53	0.12	1.39	ND	0.06	3.26
Plant 'G' Unit-1 <sup>4</sup>	21	25.2	3	690	Yes	29	18	2.71	0.09	1.67	0.09	0.08	1.62
Davis Besse Unit- 1 <sup>9</sup>	17M	22.5	2	600	No	17	35 (SU)29	13.8	ND	3.49	ND	0.05	3.95
Davis Besse Unit 1 <sup>9</sup>	17	22.54	2	600	Yes	6.5	30 (SU)21	10.20	Trace	3.29	ND	0.11	3.10

### Table 5-6: Gamma Scan Deposited Activity and Dose Rate Comparison Data

1 Average of the 1099 and 1292 keV photopeaks

2 Average of the 1173 and 1332 keV photopeaks

3 Contact dose rate using Ludlum 2241 meter and HP220A

shielded probe with piping full and insulation on

4 Contact dose rate using Ludlum 2241 meter and HP220A

shielded probe with piping empty and insulation on

5 Cs-134 and Cs-137 were also detected in the piping films

at Plant 'E' Unit-1 and Plant 'F' Unit-1

6 'A' and 'C' loops only, insulation installed; 'B' loop was

drained

7 Cs-137 was detected in the cold leg piping at Plant 'F' Unit-2

8 Average of cold leg/suction piping only. No hot leg gamma scan data

9 Average of hot leg/suction piping only. No cold leg gamma scan data

# Section 6: Conclusions

The baseline gamma scan data collected during vessel head replacement outage 17M showed that Co-58 and Co-60 were the predominant isotopes identified in the hot leg and RCP suction piping films. In RFO17, the same conclusion can be made with Co-58 and Co-60 again being the predominant isotopes.

The average Co-58 hot leg activity was 82% of the total activity and Co-60 represented 15% of the total activity in the hot leg piping. Nb-95 and Mn-54 contributed the balance of the activity. Trace amounts of Fe-59 and La-140 were identified but were too small to be quantified.

Although Co-60 was only 15% of the hot leg activity, it corresponds to 34% of the piping dose rate. Co-58 corresponds to approximately 64% of the dose rate. Nb-95 and Mn-54 each contribute less than 1% to the piping dose rate

Co-58 is the predominant radionuclide in the RCP suction corrosion film(s) accounting for approximately 65% of the total activity. Co-60 is the next largest contributor at 34%, and Mn-54 contributes the remaining 1%. Co-60 corresponds to approximately 66% of the piping dose rate whereas Co-58's contribution is approximately at 33%. Trace amounts of Fe-59 were identified but were too small to be quantified.

Using averages for the hot leg and cross-under or RCP suction piping data, the Co-58 to Co-60 ratio in the oxide was 3.10. This is consistent with other alloy 600 steam generator tube plants in RSI's database. The nickel corrosion rate should be at a steady rate as the generator oxide film should be passive and primary pH control has been constant for several cycles. This results in Co-58 activity that can be greatly affected by the effectiveness of the forced oxidation. The Co-60 in the film is more difficult to control and because of the longer half-life it is more important not to incorporate it into the piping oxide film. Incorporation of Co-60 can be reduced by the use of zinc injection and cobalt source term removal.

Davis Besse saw small reductions in the average Co-58 and Co-60 concentrations in the piping film as well as reductions in the average dose rate and Co-58 to Co-60 ratio from outage 17M to RFO17. Since zinc injection has only been used for a short period of time prior to the most recent measurements, it is difficult to say if it was a factor in these reductions. Continued monitoring of radiocobalt in the piping films is necessary to ascertain the long term effects of zinc injection.

No Zn-65 was detected in either set of SG data due to the relatively short time period Davis Besse has been utilizing zinc addition. Continued zinc addition should cause a small amount of Zn-65 to be incorporated into the piping deposits but will also be beneficial in minimizing and mitigating the incorporation of radiocobalts into the piping films. Zinc addition is a good starting point to control existing Co-60 inventory in the primary loop. Additional reduction of source term may require elimination of cobalt bearing materials from the reactor coolant system.

Continued use of gamma scanning will allow FENOC to monitor the effectiveness of changes and optimization of the chemistry control strategy and source term reduction initiatives

# Appendix A: Original Radionuclide Data Sheets and Correction Factors

All data was corrected for the differences in pipe wall thickness for the scanned piping verses the calibration piping. The correction factors are as follows:

Nuclide	Energy (keV)	Correction Factor Hot Leg	Correction Factor Cross-under
Cr-51	320	49.282	14.702
Nb-95	766	12.144	5.592
Mn-54	835	11.003	5.227
Co-58	811	11.404	5.385
Fe-59	Average	7.446	3.990
Co-60	Average	7.081	3.855
Zn-65	1116	7.930	4.170

### Eu-152 Check Source

\*\*\*\*\* G A M M A S P E C T R U M A N A L Y S I S \*\*\*\*\*

Filename: E:\Davis Besse 1205\eu152db.CNF

Report Generat	ted On	: 5/1	11	/2012	9:	02 <b>:</b> 57	AM		
Sample Title Sample Descrip Sample Identi: Sample Type Sample Geometr	ption fication ry	: Eu- : : eu: : : pt	-1 15 s	52 Sou 2db ource	rce	Check,	, Da	vis Bes	se
Peak Locate Th Peak Locate Ra Peak Area Rang Identification	nreshold ange (in channe ge (in channels n Energy Tolera	ls) ) nce	::	3.00 1 1.0	- 65 - 65 00 k	535 535 eV			
Sample Size		:	:	1.000	E+00	0			
Sample Taken On Acquisition Started		:	:	10/1/2 5/10/2	011 012	12:00 8:50	):00 5:59	AM AM	
Live Time Real Time		:	:	36 36	00.0 08.6	secor secor	nds nds		
Dead Time		:	:	0.24	olo				
	Energy Calibration Used Done On Efficiency Calibration Used Done On						:	5/10/2 12/16/	012 1999
	Efficiency ID						:	Source	Check
\*\*\*\*\* PEAK ANALYSIS REPORT \*\*\*\* Detector Name: RSI02 1 Sample Title: Eu-152 Source Check, Davis Besse Peak Analysis Performed on: 5/11/2012 9:02:57 AM Peak Analysis From Channel: 1 Peak Analysis To Channel: 4096 Peak ROI ROI Peak Energy FWHM Net Peak Net Area Continuum No. start end centroid (keV) (keV) Area Uncert. Counts 51.69 0.91 6.09E+002 53.20 9.03E+002 101- 106 103.23 1 239- 247 243.58 121.93 1.12 5.49E+004 255.75 4.87E+003 2 3 291- 296 293.99 147.17 0.50 -1.30E+001 58.75 1.96E+003 484- 493 488.93 244.74 1.13 1.11E+004 129.58 2.55E+003 4 5 586- 596 591.24 295.95 1.24 5.95E+002 79.31 2.39E+003 6 682- 692 687.66 344.21 1.27 2.84E+004 183.26 2.16E+003 731-739 734.58 367.69 1.44 8.57E+002 64.27 1.53E+003 7 M 8 817- 835 821.14 411.02 1.21 2.05E+003 56.07 1.32E+003 m 9 817- 835 831.07 415.99 1.22 1.50E+002 29.80 1.30E+003 10 881- 890 886.79 443.88 1.31 2.49E+003 79.15 1.67E+003 11 972- 981 976.11 488.58 1.76 2.89E+002 63.50 1.65E+003 12 1120- 1131 1126.28 563.75 1.51 4.22E+002 73.33 1.97E+003 

 13
 1168-1176
 1170.84
 586.05
 1.60
 3.52E+002
 59.38
 1.48E+003

 14
 1351-1361
 1355.51
 678.49
 1.46
 2.69E+002
 64.06
 1.60E+003

 15
 1369-1381
 1375.60
 688.54
 1.78
 6.20E+002
 72.27
 1.75E+003

 16
 1431-1440
 1437.11
 719.33
 1.05
 1.94E+002
 58.73
 1.44E+003

 17 1549- 1561 1555.88 778.78 1.55 7.03E+003 105.65 1.57E+003 18 1615-1624 1618.82 810.28 1.07 1.45E+002 52.85 1.17E+003 19 1726- 1737 1732.51 867.18 1.64 2.07E+003 74.88 1.41E+003 20 1834-1842 1836.90 919.43 1.01 1.47E+002 41.11 7.19E+002 21 1919- 1932 1925.76 963.91 1.68 6.72E+003 95.87 8.96E+002 22 2004-2014 2007.76 1004.96 1.50 3.66E+002 40.61 5.32E+002 M 23 2162-2183 2169.16 1085.74 1.61 4.05E+003 67.20 5.18E+002 m 24 2162-2183 2176.92 1089.63 1.61 7.13E+002 32.46 4.77E+002 25 2214-2228 2221.58 1111.98 1.74 5.52E+003 85.61 6.30E+002 252214-22282221.381111.981.745.52E+00385.616.30E+002262417-24292423.241212.911.534.95E+00236.923.30E+002272490-25022497.061249.871.177.07E+00127.532.61E+002282538-25522545.911274.311.891.41E+00225.141.71E+002292590-26022595.571299.171.965.77E+00230.891.43E+002302806-28202813.301408.151.856.58E+00381.824.20E+001312907-29182912.511457.811.821.48E+00212.675.39E+000323047-30593053.351528.301.247.36E+0019.526.45E+000

M = First peak in a multiplet region m = Other peak in a multiplet region

F = Fitted singlet

Errors quoted at 1.000 sigma

< A-3 >

Interference Corrected Activity Report 5/11/2012 9:03:00 AM Page 3

\*\*\*\*\* NUCLIDE IDENTIFICATION REPORT \*\*\*\*\*

Sample Title: Eu-152 Source Check, Davis Besse Nuclide Library Used: C:\GENIE2K\CAMFILES\STDLIB.NLB

Nuclide	Id	Energy	Yield	Activity	Activity
Name	Confidence	(keV)	(응)	(uCi/ )	Uncertainty
EU-152	0.998	121.78*	28.40	1.05670E+000	1.05252E+000
		244.69*	7.49	1.03550E+000	9.91874E-001
		344.27*	26.50	1.07693E+000	9.66514E-001
		411.11*	2.21	1.11594E+000	1.27812E+000
		443.98*	3.11	1.03982E+000	1.25522E+000
		778.89*	12.74	1.09380E+000	7.95013E-001
		867.32*	4.16	1.04941E+000	6.81463E-001
		964.01*	14.40	1.04443E+000	6.63251E-001
		1085.78*	10.00	9.69936E-001	6.21127E-001
		1112.02*	13.30	1.00783E+000	6.43874E-001
		1407.95*	20.70	9.10912E-001	8.83752E-001

@ = Energy line not used for Weighted Mean Activity Energy Tolerance : 1.000 keV Nuclide confidence index threshold = 0.30 Errors quoted at 1.000 sigma

### 1-1 Hot Leg Piping Plug 2

\*\*\*\*\* G A M M A S P E C T R U M A N A L Y S I S \*\*\*\*\*

Filename: E:\Davis Besse 1205\1-1 HLP2.CNF

Report Generated On :	5/11/2012 9:04:28 AM
Sample Title : Sample Description : Sample Identification : Sample Type : Sample Geometry : ;	1-1 Hot Leg, p2, P2 7 mR/hr 1-1HLP2 pipe
Peak Locate Threshold Peak Locate Range (in channels Peak Area Range (in channels) Identification Energy Tolerance	: 3.00 ): 1 - 65535 : 1 - 65535 e: 1.000 keV
Sample Size	: 1.000E+000 cm2
Sample Taken On Acquisition Started	: 5/6/2012 12:01:00 AM : 5/10/2012 4:13:06 PM
Live Time Real Time	: 1800.0 seconds : 1826.8 seconds
Dead Time	: 1.47 %

Energy Calibration Used Done On	: 5/10/2012
Efficiency Calibration Used Done On	: 8/24/2011
Efficiency ID	: D1P2FUIN42 Pipe

# \*\*\*\*\* PEAK ANALYSIS REPORT \*\*\*\*\*

Detector Name: RSI02\_1 Sample Title: 1-1 Hot Leg, p2, P2 7 mR/hr Peak Analysis Performed on: 5/11/2012 9:04:28 AM Peak Analysis From Channel: 1 Peak Analysis To Channel: 4096

Pea No	k ROI ROI . start end	Peak centroid	Energy (keV)	FWHM (keV)	Net Peak ) Area	Net Area Uncert.	Continuum Counts
1	101- 106	103.33	51.74	0.96	2.45E+003	115.41	4.31E+003
2	358- 363	360.08	180.25	0.50	-1.18E+002	140.28	1.12E+004
3	753- 762	758.71	379.77	0.52	-2.50E+001	156.47	1.08E+004
4	972- 978	974.32	487.69	0.70	-1.44E+002	104.85	5.89E+003
5	1015- 1026	1020.94	511.02	2.52	2.66E+003	161.40	9.33E+003
6	1526- 1536	1530.20	765.92	1.65	3.83E+002	92.92	3.46E+003
7	1613- 1626	1619.97	810.86	1.62	2.02E+004	171.42	3.34E+003
8	1665- 1674	1667.97	834.88	1.86	2.39E+002	67.79	1.92E+003
9	1699- 1708	1703.69	852.76	0.96	3.90E+001	64.92	1.85E+003
10	1722- 1732	1726.54	864.20	1.29	1.87E+002	69.86	1.97E+003
11	1918- 1924	1920.74	961.40	0.78	2.12E+001	45.23	1.07E+003
12	2339- 2351	2344.52	1173.51	1.83	6.53E+003	91.13	6.81E+002
13	2656- 2670	2663.07	1332.96	1.92	7.47E+003	89.51	1.93E+002
14	3187- 3197	3190.39	1596.89	1.71	4.97E+001	8.76	1.13E+001
15	3340- 3354	3347.59	1675.58	1.60	2.55E+002	16.48	5.63E+000

M = First peak in a multiplet region m = Other peak in a multiplet region F = Fitted singlet

Errors quoted at 1.000 sigma

< A-6 >

\*\*\*\*\* NUCLIDE IDE NTIFICATION REPORT \*\*\*\*\*

Sample Title: 1-1 Hot Leg, p2, P2 7 mR/hr Nuclide Library Used: C:\GENIE2K\CAMFILES\STDLIB.NLB

••••		IDEN	NTIFIED N	UCLIDES	
Nuclide Name	Id Confidence	Energy (keV)	Yield (%)	Activity (uCi/cm2 )	Activity Uncertainty
MN-54 CO-58 CO-60 NB-95 LA-140	1.000 0.998 0.975 0.997 0.492	834.83* 810.76* 1173.22* 1332.49* 765.79* 328.77 432.53	99.97 99.40 100.00 100.00 99.81 20.50 2.94	1.50937E-002 1.34305E+000 3.62611E-001 3.97413E-001 2.71415E-002	4.28087E-003 1.20974E-002 5.06415E-003 4.76394E-003 6.57986E-003
		487.03* 751.79 815.85 867.82 919.63 925.24 1596.49*	45.50 4.40 23.50 5.63 2.88 7.09 95.49	-3.09390E-002 3.36210E-003	2.24656E-002 5.92036E-004

\* = Energy line found in the spectrum. @ = Energy line not used for Weighted Mean Activity Energy Tolerance : 1.000 keV Nuclide confidence index threshold = 0.30 Errors quoted at 1.000 sigma

< A-7 ≻

### 1-1 Hot Leg Piping Plug 0

\*\*\*\*\* G A M M A S P E C T R U M A N A L Y S I S \*\*\*\*\*

Filename: E:\Davis Besse 1205\1-1 HLP0.CNF

Report Generated On :	5/11	1/2012 9:	05:48 AM	
Sample Title : Sample Description : Sample Identification : Sample Type : Sample Geometry :	1-1 1-1H pipe	Hot Leg, P HLPO	0, 7 mR/H	nr
Peak Locate Threshold Peak Locate Range (in channel Peak Area Range (in channels) Identification Energy Toleran	: (s) : (ce :	3.00 1 - 65 1 - 65 1.000 k	535 535 eV	
Sample Size	:	1.000E+00	0 cm2	
Sample Taken On Acquisition Started	:	5/6/2012 5/10/2012	12:01:00 4:47:29	AM PM
Live Time Real Time	:	1800.0 1801.8	seconds seconds	
Dead Time	:	0.10 %		

Energy Calibration Used Done On	: 5/10/2012
Efficiency Calibration Used Done On	: 8/24/2011
Efficiency ID	: D1P2FUIN42 Pipe

\*\*\*\*\*\* PEAK ANALYSIS REPORT \*\*\*\*\*
Detector Name: RSI02\_1
Sample Title: 1-1 Hot Leg, P0, 7 mR/hr
Peak Analysis Performed on: 5/11/2012 9:05:48 AM
 Peak Analysis From Channel: 1
 Peak Analysis To Channel: 4096
Peak ROI ROI Peak Energy FWHM Net Peak Net Area Continuum
No. start end centroid (keV) (keV) Area Uncert. Counts
1 1091-1096 1093.00 547.09 0.50 -1.52E+001 21.90 2.72E+002
2 1613-1626 1620.00 810.87 1.08 2.57E+002 38.25 4.37E+002
3 2338-2351 2344.39 1173.45 1.44 4.98E+002 28.70 1.19E+002
4 2655-2669 2662.84 1332.84 1.88 8.77E+002 31.27 3.45E+001
M = First peak in a multiplet region
m = Other peak in a multiplet region
F = Fitted singlet

Errors quoted at 1.000 sigma

< A-9 >

Interference Corrected Activity Report 5/11/2012 9:05:49 AM Page 3
\*\*\*\*\*\* N U C L I D E I D E N T I F I C A T I O N R E P O R T \*\*\*\*\*
Sample Title: 1-1 Hot Leg, P0, 7 mR/hr
Nuclide Library Used: C:\GENIE2K\CAMFILES\STDLIB.NLB
......
Nuclide Id Energy Yield Activity Activity
Name Confidence (keV) (%) (uCi/cm2) Uncertainty
CO-58 0.998 810.76\* 99.40 1.70767E-002 2.54444E-003
CO-60 0.986 1173.22\* 100.00 2.76992E-002 1.59496E-003
1332.49\* 100.00 4.66530E-002 1.66425E-003
\* = Energy line found in the spectrum.
@ = Energy line found in the spectrum.
@ = Energy line not used for Weighted Mean Activity
Energy Tolerance : 1.000 keV
Nuclide confidence index threshold = 0.30
Errors quoted at 1.000 sigma

## 1-1-1 RCP Suction Piping Plug 2

\*\*\*\*\* G A M M A S P E C T R U M A N A L Y S I S \*\*\*\*\*

Filename: E:\Davis Besse 1205\1-1-1 sucP2.CNF

Report Generated On : 5	9:06:33 AM
Sample Title: 1Sample Description:Sample Identification: 1Sample Type:Sample Geometry: p	-1-1 RCP Suction P2 15 mR/hr -1-1 SucP2 Pipe
Peak Locate Threshold Peak Locate Range (in channels) Peak Area Range (in channels) Identification Energy Tolerance	: 3.00 : 1 - 65535 : 1 - 65535 : 1.000 keV
Sample Size	: 1.000E+000 cm2
Sample Taken On Acquisition Started	: 5/6/2012 12:01:00 AM : 5/10/2012 3:19:46 PM
Live Time Real Time	: 900.0 seconds : 932.4 seconds
Dead Time	: 3.47 %

Energy Calibration Used Done On	: 5/10/2012
Efficiency Calibration Used Done On	: 11/3/2011
Efficiency ID	: D1P2FUIN33 Pipe

PEAK ANALYSIS REPORT \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* Detector Name: RSI02 1 Sample Title: 1-1-1 RCP Suction P2 15 mR/hr Peak Analysis Performed on: 5/11/2012 9:06:33 AM Peak Analysis From Channel: 1 Peak Analysis To Channel: 4096 PeakROIPeakEnergyFWHMNet PeakNet AreaContinuumNo. start endcentroid(keV)(keV)AreaUncert.Counts 1 131- 138 134.96 68.05 0.98 5.48E+002 170.17 1.41E+004 2 1015- 1025 1020.57 511.12 2.64 1.80E+003 161.18 1.01E+004 3 1361-1367 1363.64 682.75 0.70 -1.10E+002 86.27 3.99E+003 4 1613-1625 1619.57 810.79 1.62 1.38E+004 164.61 5.07E+003 5 2139- 2145 2142.26 1072.29 0.57 -4.41E+001 51.97 1.45E+003 6 2339-2350 2344.01 1173.23 1.73 1.14E+004 119.17 1.11E+003 7 2655-2669 2662.43 1332.53 1.88 1.38E+004 120.97 2.88E+002 8 3340- 3353 3346.89 1674.96 1.98 2.44E+002 16.63 1.19E+001 M = First peak in a multiplet region m = Other peak in a multiplet region F = Fitted singlet

Errors quoted at 1.000 sigma

### 1-1-1 RCP Suction Piping Plug 0

\*\*\*\*\* G A M M A S P E C T R U M A N A L Y S I S \*\*\*\*\*

Filename: E:\Davis Besse 1205\1-1-1 sucP0.CNF

Report Generated On :	5/11/2012 9:07:20 AM
Sample Title : Sample Description : Sample Identification : Sample Type : Sample Geometry :	1-1-1 RCP Suction PO 15 mR/hr 1-1-1 SucPO pipe
Peak Locate Threshold Peak Locate Range (in channels Peak Area Range (in channels) Identification Energy Tolerand	: 3.00 c) : 1 - 65535 : 1 - 65535 ce : 1.000 keV
Sample Size	: 1.000E+000 cm2
Sample Taken On Acquisition Started	: 5/6/2012 12:01:00 AM : 5/10/2012 3:40:18 PM
Live Time Real Time	: 900.0 seconds : 902.9 seconds
Dead Time	: 0.32 %

Energy Calibration Used Done On	: 5/10/2012
Efficiency Calibration Used Done On	: 11/3/2011
Efficiency ID	: D1P2FUIN33 Pipe

\*\*\*\*\*\* PEAK ANALYSIS REPORT \*\*\*\*\*
Detector Name: RSI02\_1
Sample Title: 1-1-1 RCP Suction P0 15 mR/hr
Peak Analysis Performed on: 5/11/2012 9:07:20 AM
 Peak Analysis From Channel: 1
 Peak Analysis To Channel: 4096
Peak ROI ROI Peak Energy FWHM Net Peak Net Area Continuum
No. start end centroid (keV) (keV) Area Uncert. Counts
1 1615-1625 1619.38 810.70 1.39 2.14E+002 41.68 6.35E+002
2 2337-2350 2344.25 1173.35 1.90 1.02E+003 39.67 2.01E+002
3 2655-2669 2662.60 1332.62 1.62 1.68E+003 43.12 6.14E+001
4 3343-3353 3346.81 1674.92 2.02 4.00E+001 6.32 0.00E+000
M = First peak in a multiplet region
m = Other peak in a multiplet region
F = Fitted singlet

Errors quoted at 1.000 sigma

### 1-1-2 RCP Suction Piping Plug 2

\*\*\*\*\* G A M M A S P E C T R U M A N A L Y S I S \*\*\*\*\*

Filename: E:\Davis Besse 1205\1-1-2 sucP2.CNF

Report Generated On :	5/11/2012 9:08:01 AM
Sample Title : Sample Description : Sample Identification : Sample Type : Sample Geometry :	1-1-2 RCP Suction P2 16 mR/hr 1-1-2 SucP2 pipe
Peak Locate Threshold Peak Locate Range (in channel) Peak Area Range (in channels) Identification Energy Tolerand	: 3.00 s): 1 - 65535 : 1 - 65535 ce: 1.000 keV
Sample Size	: 1.000E+000 cm2
Sample Taken On Acquisition Started	: 5/6/2012 12:01:00 AM : 5/10/2012 5:38:15 PM
Live Time Real Time	: 900.0 seconds : 929.7 seconds
Dead Time	: 3.19 %

Energy Calibration Used Done On	: 5/10/2012
Efficiency Calibration Used Done On	: 11/3/2011
Efficiency ID	: D1P2FUIN33 Pipe

Detector Name: RSI02\_1 Sample Title: 1-1-2 RCP Suction P2 16 mR/hr Peak Analysis Performed on: 5/11/2012 9:08:01 AM Peak Analysis From Channel: 1 Peak Analysis To Channel: 4096

 Peak ROI ROI No. start end
 Peak centroid
 Energy (keV)
 FWHM Net Peak (keV)
 Net Area Uncert.
 Continuum Counts

 1
 101 107
 103.47
 51.80
 1.27
 3.49E+003
 127.21
 5.01E+003

 2
 132 138
 134.68
 67.43
 0.93
 1.88E+002
 147.76
 1.14E+004

 3
 1015 1026
 1020.81
 510.96
 2.88
 1.55E+003
 165.03
 1.02E+004

 4
 1545 1552
 1547.71
 774.69
 0.68
 -5.97E+001
 84.20
 3.54E+003

 5
 1613 1625
 1619.78
 810.76
 1.57
 1.23E+004
 156.30
 4.62E+003

 M
 6
 1663 1682
 1667.87
 834.83
 1.12
 1.89E+002
 43.51
 2.58E+003

 m
 7
 1663 1682
 1676.11
 838.96
 1.12
 1.17E+002
 39.04
 2.52E+003

 m
 7
 1663 1682
 1676.11
 838.96
 1.12
 1.17E+002
 39.04
 2.52E+003

 g
 2337 2351</td

M = First peak in a multiplet region m = Other peak in a multiplet region F = Fitted singlet

Errors quoted at 1.000 sigma

Errors quoted at 1.000 sigma

Interference Corrected Activity Report 5/11/2012 9:08:03 AM Page 3

### 1-1-2 RCP Suction Piping Plug 0

\*\*\*\*\* G A M M A S P E C T R U M A N A L Y S I S \*\*\*\*\*

Filename: E:\Davis Besse 1205\1-1-2 sucPO.CNF

Report Generated On :	5/11	L/2012	9:09:46 AM	
Sample Title : Sample Description : Sample Identification : Sample Type : Sample Geometry :	1-1- 1-1-	-2 RCP Suc -2 SucPO	ction PO 16	mR/hr
Peak Locate Threshold Peak Locate Range (in channel Peak Area Range (in channels) Identification Energy Toleran	: .s) : nce :	3.00 1 - 1.000	65535 65535 keV	
Sample Size	:	1.000E+	000 cm2	
Sample Taken On Acquisition Started	:	5/6/2012 5/10/2013	12:01:00 2 5:56:47	AM PM
Live Time Real Time	:	900 902	.0 seconds .1 seconds	
Dead Time	:	0.23 %		

Energy Calibration Used Done On	: 5/10/2012
Efficiency Calibration Used Done On	: 11/3/2011
Efficiency ID	: D1P2FUIN33 Pipe

# \*\*\*\*\*\* PEAK ANALYSIS REPORT \*\*\*\*\*

Detector Name: RSI02\_1 Sample Title: 1-1-2 RCP Suction P0 16 mR/hr Peak Analysis Performed on: 5/11/2012 9:09:47 AM Peak Analysis From Channel: 1 Peak Analysis To Channel: 4096

PeakROIROIPeakEnergy<br/>(keV)FWHMNetPeak<br/>(keV)NetAreaContinuum<br/>Counts1101-106103.2151.670.781.45E+00227.812.50E+0022115-121118.8059.480.695.58E+00137.497.12E+00231394-14001397.01699.260.50-2.12E+00125.363.49E+00241614-16261620.30811.021.121.33E+00238.645.17E+00251735-17401737.32869.590.771.47E+00119.552.06E+002M61824-18351826.48914.220.404.25E+00018.121.02E+002m71824-18351830.62916.290.403.24E+00013.801.00E+00282026-20352030.901016.540.773.89E+00124.262.43E+00292298-23042301.271151.861.00-8.48E-00113.289.28E+001102338-23512344.341173.421.595.37E+00231.391.62E+002112655-26702663.011332.931.791.05E+00333.502.36E+001123342-3533348.151675.860.703.60E+0016.000.00E+000

M = First peak in a multiplet region m = Other peak in a multiplet region F = Fitted singlet

Errors quoted at 1.000 sigma

< A-21 >

### 1-2 Hot Leg Piping Plug 2

\*\*\*\*\* G A M M A S P E C T R U M A N A L Y S I S \*\*\*\*\*

Filename: E:\Davis Besse 1205\1-2 HLP2.CNF

Report G	enerated On	:	5/13	L/201	.2	9:1	1:17 AM		
Sample T Sample D Sample I Sample T Sample G	Pitle Description Identification Type Geometry	::	1-2 1-2 pipe	Hot HLP2	Leg	P2	6 mR/hr		
Peak Loc Peak Loc Peak Are Identifi	ate Threshold ate Range (in channe a Range (in channels cation Energy Tolera	els ;) inc	: 3) : : ce :	3.(	)0 1 - 1 - .000	655 655 ) ke	935 935 9V		
Sample S	lize		:	1.0	)00E+	-000	cm2		
Sample T Acquisit	'aken On ion Started		:	5/6/ 5/10	2012)/201	2	12:01:00 12:55:30	AM PM	
Live Tim Real Tim	ie Ie		:		1800 1839	).0 ).3	seconds seconds		
Dead Tim	le		:	2.	14 %	5			

Energy Calibration Used Done On	: 5/10/2012
Efficiency Calibration Used Done On	: 8/24/2011
Efficiency ID	: D1P2FUIN42 Pipe

## \*\*\*\*\*\* PEAK ANALYSIS REPORT \*\*\*\*\*

### 

Detector Name: RSI02\_1 Sample Title: 1-2 Hot Leg P2 6 mR/hr Peak Analysis Performed on: 5/11/2012 9:11:17 AM Peak Analysis From Channel: 1 Peak Analysis To Channel: 4096

PeakROIROIPeakEnergy<br/>(keV)FWHMNetPeakNetAreaContinuum<br/>Counts1257-262259.88130.090.569.47E+001165.681.54E+00421015-10261020.84510.973.063.85E+003195.781.37E+00431316-13231319.41660.420.511.22E+002104.925.40E+00341526-15351529.90765.771.223.90E+002108.945.07E+00351613-16251619.76810.751.572.70E+004200.525.02E+00361663-16741667.95834.871.144.55E+002100.753.87E+00371720-17311726.37864.111.743.25E+00295.713.52E+00382190-22002196.471099.411.051.10E+00267.031.84E+00392337-23512344.271173.391.731.08E+004119.121.20E+003102574-25872581.631292.191.301.18E+00237.764.72E+002112655-2669262.651332.751.811.19E+004112.833.01E+002123185-31953190.181596.792.069.67E+00111.221.23E+001133340-33533347.011675.292.143.08E+00218.341.05E+001

M = First peak in a multiplet region m = Other peak in a multiplet region F = Fitted singlet

Errors quoted at 1.000 sigma

< A-24 >

Interference Corrected Activity Report 5/11/2012 9:11:18 AM Page 3

\*\*\*\*\* NUCLIDE IDENTIFICATION REPORT \*\*\*\*\*

Sample Title: 1-2 Hot Leg P2 6 mR/hr Nuclide Library Used: C:\GENIE2K\CAMFILES\STDLIB.NLB

 IDENTIFIED NUCLIDES

 Nuclide Name
 Id
 Energy (keV)
 Yield (%)
 Activity (uCi/cm2)
 Activity Uncertainty

 MN-54
 1.000
 834.83\*
 99.97
 2.86941E-002
 6.36001E-003

 CO-58
 1.000
 810.76\*
 99.40
 1.79225E+000
 1.43726E-002

 CO-60
 0.992
 1173.22\*
 100.00
 5.97615E-001
 6.61968E-003

 NB-95
 1.000
 765.79\*
 99.81
 2.75445E-002
 7.69381E-003

\* = Energy line found in the spectrum. @ = Energy line not used for Weighted Mean Activity Energy Tolerance : 1.000 keV Nuclide confidence index threshold = 0.30 Errors quoted at 1.000 sigma

### 1-2 Hot Leg Piping Plug 0

\*\*\*\*\* G A M M A S P E C T R U M A N A L Y S I S \*\*\*\*\*

Filename: E:\Davis Besse 1205\1-2 HLP0.CNF

Report Generated On	: 5/11/2012 9:11:54 AM
Sample Title	: 1-2 Hot Leg P0 6 mR/hr
Sample Description	:
Sample Identification	: 1-2 HLP0
Sample Type	:
Sample Geometry	: pipe
Peak Locate Threshold	: 3.00
Peak Locate Range (in channel	els): 1 - 65535
Peak Area Range (in channel	s): 1 - 65535
Identification Energy Toler	ance: 1.000 keV
Sample Size	: 1.000E+000 cm2
Sample Taken On	: 5/6/2012 12:01:00 AM
Acquisition Started	: 5/10/2012 1:28:59 PM
Live Time	: 1800.0 seconds
Real Time	: 1802.0 seconds
Dead Time	: 0.11 %

Energy Calibration Used Done On	: 5/10/2012
Efficiency Calibration Used Done On	: 8/24/2011
Efficiency ID	: D1P2FUIN42 Pipe

PEAK ANALYSIS REPORT \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* Detector Name: RSI02 1 Sample Title: 1-2 Hot Leg P0 6 mR/hr Peak Analysis Performed on: 5/11/2012 9:11:54 AM Peak Analysis From Channel: 1 Peak Analysis To Channel: 4096 PeakROIPeakEnergyFWHMNet PeakNet AreaContinuumNo. start endcentroid(keV)(keV)AreaUncert.Counts 

 1
 101 106
 103.22
 51.68
 0.63
 9.96E+001
 25.02
 2.04E+002

 2
 1614 1625
 1619.23
 810.49
 1.71
 1.04E+002
 33.34
 3.96E+002

 3
 2310 2319
 2312.78
 1157.63
 0.95
 1.35E+001
 15.07
 9.45E+001

 4 2337-2351 2344.25 1173.38 1.90 4.82E+002 27.32 9.18E+001 5 2655- 2669 2662.70 1332.77 1.82 8.07E+002 30.40 4.01E+001 6 3342- 3352 3347.71 1675.64 1.85 2.77E+001 5.55 1.31E+000 M = First peak in a multiplet region m = Other peak in a multiplet region F = Fitted singlet Errors quoted at 1.000 sigma

< A-27 ≻

Interference Corrected Activity Report 5/11/2012 9:11:55 AM Page 3
\*\*\*\*\*\* N U C L I D E I D E N T I F I C A T I O N R E P O R T \*\*\*\*\*
Sample Title: 1-2 Hot Leg P0 6 mR/hr
Nuclide Library Used: C:\GENIE2K\CAMFILES\STDLIE.NLB
......
Nuclide Id Energy Yield Activity Activity
Name Confidence (keV) (%) (uCi/cm2) Uncertainty
CO-58 0.988 810.76\* 99.40 6.92191E-003 2.21517E-003
CO-60 0.991 1173.22\* 100.00 2.67968E-002 1.51813E-003
1332.49\* 100.00 4.29471E-002 1.61812E-003
\* = Energy line found in the spectrum.
@ = Energy line found in the spectrum.
@ = Energy line not used for Weighted Mean Activity
Energy Tolerance : 1.000 keV
Nuclide confidence index threshold = 0.30
Errors quoted at 1.000 sigma

### 1-2-1 RCP Suction Piping Plug 2

\*\*\*\*\* G A M M A S P E C T R U M A N A L Y S I S \*\*\*\*\*

Filename: E:\Davis Besse 1205\1-2-1 sucP2.CNF

Report Generated On :	5/11/	2012	9:12:33 AM	
Sample Title : Sample Description : Sample Identification : Sample Type : Sample Geometry :	1-2-1 1-2-1 pipe	. RCP Sı . SucP2	action P2 27	mR/hr
Peak Locate Threshold Peak Locate Range (in channel Peak Area Range (in channels) Identification Energy Toleran	: s) : ce :	3.00 1 - 1 - 1.000	65535 65535 keV	
Sample Size	:	1.000E+	000 cm2	
Sample Taken On Acquisition Started	•••	5/6/2012 5/10/201	12:01:00 2:17:31	AM PM
Live Time Real Time	:	900 941	.0 seconds .1 seconds	
Dead Time	:	4.37 %	5	

Energy Calibration Used Done On	: 5/10/2012
Efficiency Calibration Used Done On	: 11/3/2011
Efficiency ID	: D1P2FUIN33 Pipe

PEAK ANALYSIS REPORT \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* Detector Name: RSI02 1 Sample Title: 1-2-1 RCP Suction P2 27 mR/hr Peak Analysis Performed on: 5/11/2012 9:12:33 AM Peak Analysis From Channel: 1 Peak Analysis To Channel: 4096 PeakROIPeakEnergyFWHMNet PeakNet AreaContinuumNo. start endcentroid(keV)(keV)AreaUncert.Counts 

 1
 367 372
 369.63
 185.02
 0.52
 -3.13E+002
 170.47
 1.66E+004

 2
 705 712
 708.24
 354.51
 0.93
 -8.45E+001
 165.81
 1.37E+004

 3
 1014 1025
 1019.95
 510.53
 2.60
 2.14E+003
 192.35
 1.39E+004

 4 1369-1375 1371.60 686.54 0.84 2.37E+001 94.68 4.73E+003 5 1613-1625 1619.39 810.56 1.61 1.57E+004 181.33 6.55E+003 6 2071-2077 2074.26 1038.24 0.63 -4.72E+001 57.36 1.77E+003 7 2337-2350 2343.80 1173.15 1.69 1.29E+004 129.53 1.43E+003 8 2655-2669 2662.27 1332.56 1.83 1.51E+004 126.67 3.31E+002 9 3339- 3353 3346.41 1674.99 2.26 2.44E+002 16.27 7.39E+000 M = First peak in a multiplet region m = Other peak in a multiplet region

F = Fitted singlet

Errors quoted at 1.000 sigma

≺ A-30 ≻

### 1-2-1 RCP Suction Piping Plug 0

\*\*\*\*\* G A M M A S P E C T R U M A N A L Y S I S \*\*\*\*\*

Filename: E:\Davis Besse 1205\1-2-1 sucP0.CNF

Report Generated On	: 5/1	1/2012	9:1	3:08 AM		
Sample Title Sample Description Sample Identification Sample Type Sample Geometry	: 1-2 : : 1-2 : : pipe	-1 RCP -1 SucP e	Sucti	on PO 2	7 mR/hr	
Peak Locate Threshold Peak Locate Range (in channe Peak Area Range (in channels Identification Energy Tolera	: ls) : ) : nce :	3.00 1 1 1.0	- 655 - 655 00 ke	35 35 V		
Sample Size	:	1.000	E+000	cm2		
Sample Taken On Acquisition Started	:	5/6/20 5/10/2	12 012	12:01:0 2:37:1	0 AM 0 PM	
Live Time Real Time	:	9 9	00.0 02.9	seconds seconds		
Dead Time	:	0.33	olo			

Energy Calibration Used Done On	: 5/10/2012
Efficiency Calibration Used Done On	: 11/3/2011
Efficiency ID	: D1P2FUIN33 Pipe

PEAK ANALYSIS REPORT \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* Detector Name: RSI02 1 Sample Title: 1-2-1 RCP Suction P0 27 mR/hr Peak Analysis Performed on: 5/11/2012 9:13:08 AM Peak Analysis From Channel: 1 Peak Analysis To Channel: 4096 PeakROIPeakEnergyFWHMNet PeakNet AreaContinuumNo. start endcentroid(keV)(keV)AreaUncert.Counts 1398-403400.82200.640.50-1.19E+00133.816.51E+00221490-14991496.07748.840.54-1.09E+00137.836.37E+00231615-16251619.55810.651.841.73E+00240.076.01E+00241827-18341829.69915.831.081.70E+00127.263.58E+00252339-23502343.991173.251.776.85E+00233.321.69E+002 6 2657-2669 2662.46 1332.65 1.78 1.32E+003 38.06 5.06E+001 M = First peak in a multiplet region m = Other peak in a multiplet region F = Fitted singlet

Errors quoted at 1.000 sigma

< A-33 ≻

### 1-2-2 RCP Suction Piping Plug 2

\*\*\*\*\* G A M M A S P E C T R U M A N A L Y S I S \*\*\*\*\*

Filename: E:\Davis Besse 1205\1-2-2 sucP2.CNF

Report Ger	nerated On	:	5/11	/2012	9:	13:48 AM	
Sample Tit Sample Des Sample Ide Sample Typ Sample Geo	tle scription entification be ometry	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	1-2- 1-2- pipe	-2 RCE -2 Suc	9 Suct: 2P2	ion P2 26	mR/hr
Peak Locat Peak Locat Peak Area Identifica	ce Threshold ce Range (in chann Range (in channel ation Energy Toler	els s) anc	;) : ; ;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;	3.00 1 1 1.	) - 65 - 65 000 ke	535 535 eV	
Sample Siz	ze		:	1.00	0E+00	0 cm2	
Sample Tak Acquisitic	sen On on Started		:	5/6/2 5/10/	2012 2012	12:01:00 12:01:21	AM PM
Live Time Real Time			:		900.0 957.6	seconds seconds	
Dead Time			:	6.0	)2 %		
	Energy Calibr	ati	on t	lsed [	one O	n :	5/10/2012

Energy Calibration Used Done On	: 5/10/2012
Efficiency Calibration Used Done On	: 11/3/2011
Efficiency ID	: D1P2FUIN33 Pipe

PEAK ANALYSIS REPORT \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* Detector Name: RSI02 1 Sample Title: 1-2-2 RCP Suction P2 26 mR/hr Peak Analysis Performed on: 5/11/2012 9:13:48 AM Peak Analysis From Channel: 1 Peak Analysis To Channel: 4096 PeakROIPeakEnergyFWHMNet PeakNet AreaContinuumNo. start endcentroid(keV)(keV)AreaUncert.Counts 1 101- 107 103.42 51.78 1.26 6.38E+003 178.81 9.97E+003 2 1014-1025 1020.31 510.71 3.39 4.47E+003 232.33 1.98E+004 3 1613-1625 1619.44 810.59 1.69 2.85E+004 227.31 8.82E+003 4 1662-1673 1667.54 834.66 1.66 7.30E+002 135.32 7.01E+003 5 1744-1751 1748.12 875.00 0.77 -1.34E+002 95.95 4.63E+003 6 2194-2200 2196.81 1099.58 0.99 6.43E+001 66.11 2.27E+003 7 2337-2350 2343.78 1173.14 1.79 2.00E+004 160.48 2.10E+003 8 2655-2669 2662.31 1332.58 1.86 2.25E+004 154.87 5.20E+002 9 3183- 3195 3189.45 1596.43 1.04 5.32E+001 10.00 1.78E+001 10 3340-3354 3346.55 1675.06 2.23 3.51E+002 19.44 9.92E+000 M = First peak in a multiplet region m = Other peak in a multiplet region

F = Fitted singlet

r = ritted singlet

Errors quoted at 1.000 sigma

≺ A-36 ≻

Errors quoted at 1.000 sigma

Interference Corrected Activity Report 5/11/2012 9:13:49 AM Page 3

### 1-2-2 RCP Suction Piping Plug 0

\*\*\*\*\* G A M M A S P E C T R U M A N A L Y S I S \*\*\*\*\*

Filename: E:\Davis Besse 1205\1-2-2 sucP0.CNF

Report	Generated On	:	5/11	/2012	9:1	L4:25 AM	
Sample Sample Sample Sample Sample	Title Description Identification Type Geometry	::	1-2- 1-2- pipe	2 RCP 2 SucF	Sucti 90	ion PO 26	mR/hr
Peak Lo Peak Lo Peak Ar Identif	cate Threshold cate Range (in channe ea Range (in channels ication Energy Tolera	ls ) nc	) : : e :	3.00 1 1 1.0	- 655 - 655 )00 ke	535 535 9V	
Sample	Size		:	1.000	)E+00(	) cm2	
Sample ' Acquisi	Taken On tion Started		:	5/6/20 5/10/2	)12 2012	12:01:00 12:21:05	AM PM
Live Tin Real Tin	me me		:	9	900.0 903.2	seconds seconds	
Dead Ti	me		:	0.35	5 8		
	Energy Calibra	t i	on I	lsed Do	ne Or	· ·	5/10/2012

Energy Calibration Used Done On	: 5/10/2012
Efficiency Calibration Used Done On	: 11/3/2011
Efficiency ID	: D1P2FUIN33 Pipe
\*\*\*\*\*\* PEAK ANALYSIS REPORT \*\*\*\*\*
Detector Name: RSI02\_1
Sample Title: 1-2-2 RCP Suction P0 26 mR/hr
Peak Analysis Performed on: 5/11/2012 9:14:25 AM
Peak Analysis From Channel: 1
Peak Analysis To Channel: 4096
Peak ROI ROI Peak Energy FWHM Net Peak Net Area Continuum
No. start end centroid (keV) (keV) Area Uncert. Counts
1 877- 884 879.38 440.17 0.91 1.64E+001 37.75 6.99E+002
2 1615- 1625 1619.30 810.52 1.12 2.95E+002 43.16 6.56E+002
3 2215- 2220 2217.23 1109.80 0.54 2.08E+000 17.62 1.70E+002
4 2337- 2350 2343.95 1173.23 1.64 8.68E+002 37.55 1.97E+002
5 2655- 2669 2662.42 1332.63 1.87 1.46E+003 39.84 4.42E+001
M = First peak in a multiplet region
m = Other peak in a multiplet region
F = Fitted singlet

Errors quoted at 1.000 sigma

< A-39 ≻

## **Export Control Restrictions**

Access to and use of EPRI Intellectual Property is granted with the specific understanding and requirement that responsibility for ensuring full compliance with all applicable U.S. and foreign export laws and regulations is being undertaken by you and your company. This includes an obligation to ensure that any individual receiving access hereunder who is not a U.S. citizen or permanent U.S. resident is permitted access under applicable U.S. and foreign export laws and regulations. In the event you are uncertain whether you or your company may lawfully obtain access to this EPRI Intellectual Property, you acknowledge that it is your obligation to consult with your company's legal counsel to determine whether this access is lawful. Although EPRI may make available on a case-by-case basis an informal assessment of the applicable U.S. export classification for specific EPRI Intellectual Property, you and your company acknowledge that this assessment is solely for informational purposes and not for reliance purposes. You and your company acknowledge that it is still the obligation of you and your company to make your own assessment of the applicable U.S. export classification and ensure compliance accordingly. You and your company understand and acknowledge your obligations to make a prompt report to EPRI and the appropriate authorities regarding any access to or use of EPRI Intellectual Property hereunder that may be in violation of applicable U.S. or foreign export laws or regulations.

The Electric Power Research Institute, Inc. (EPRI, www.epri.com) conducts research and development relating to the generation, delivery and use of electricity for the benefit of the public. An independent, nonprofit organization, EPRI brings together its scientists and engineers as well as experts from academia and industry to help address challenges in electricity, including reliability, efficiency, affordability, health, safety and the environment. EPRI also provides technology, policy and economic analyses to drive long-range research and development planning, and supports research in emerging technologies. EPRI's members represent approximately 90 percent of the electricity generated and delivered in the United States, and international participation extends to more than 30 countries. EPRI's principal offices and laboratories are located in Palo Alto, Calif.; Charlotte, N.C.; Knoxville, Tenn.; and Lenox, Mass.

Together...Shaping the Future of Electricity

© 2013 Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI), Inc. All rights reserved. Electric Power Research Institute, EPRI, and TOGETHER...SHAPING THE FUTURE OF ELECTRICITY are registered service marks of the Electric Power Research Institute, Inc.

3002001688