

2024 TECHNICAL UPDATE

Guidance on Numerical Weather Prediction

Models and Data Sources



Guidance on Numerical Weather Prediction

Models and Data Sources

3002027745

Technical Update, January 2024

EPRI Project Manager D. Larson



DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTIES AND LIMITATION OF LIABILITIES

THIS DOCUMENT WAS PREPARED BY THE ORGANIZATION(S) NAMED BELOW AS AN ACCOUNT OF WORK SPONSORED OR COSPONSORED BY THE ELECTRIC POWER RESEARCH INSTITUTE, INC. (EPRI). NEITHER EPRI, ANY MEMBER OF EPRI, ANY COSPONSOR, THE ORGANIZATION(S) BELOW, NOR ANY PERSON ACTING ON BEHALF OF ANY OF THEM:

(A) MAKES ANY WARRANTY OR REPRESENTATION WHATSOEVER, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, (I) WITH RESPECT TO THE USE OF ANY INFORMATION, APPARATUS, METHOD, PROCESS, OR SIMILAR ITEM DISCLOSED IN THIS DOCUMENT, INCLUDING MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, OR (II) THAT SUCH USE DOES NOT INFRINGE ON OR INTERFERE WITH PRIVATELY OWNED RIGHTS, INCLUDING ANY PARTY'S INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, OR (III) THAT THIS DOCUMENT IS SUITABLE TO ANY PARTICULAR USER'S CIRCUMSTANCE; OR

(B) ASSUMES RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY DAMAGES OR OTHER LIABILITY WHATSOEVER (INCLUDING ANY CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, EVEN IF EPRI OR ANY EPRI REPRESENTATIVE HAS BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES) RESULTING FROM YOUR SELECTION OR USE OF THIS DOCUMENT OR ANY INFORMATION, APPARATUS, METHOD, PROCESS, OR SIMILAR ITEM DISCLOSED IN THIS DOCUMENT.

REFERENCE HEREIN TO ANY SPECIFIC COMMERCIAL PRODUCT, PROCESS, OR SERVICE BY ITS TRADE NAME, TRADEMARK, MANUFACTURER, OR OTHERWISE, DOES NOT NECESSARILY CONSTITUTE OR IMPLY ITS ENDORSEMENT, RECOMMENDATION, OR FAVORING BY EPRI.

EPRI PREPARED THIS REPORT.

This is an EPRI Technical Update report. A Technical Update report is intended as an informal report of continuing research, a meeting, or a topical study. It is not a final EPRI technical report.

NOTE

For further information about EPRI, call the EPRI Customer Assistance Center at 800.313.3774 or e-mail <u>askepri@epri.com</u>.

Together...Shaping the Future of Energy®

© 2024 Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI), Inc. All rights reserved. Electric Power Research Institute, EPRI, and TOGETHER...SHAPING THE FUTURE OF ENERGY are registered marks of the Electric Power Research Institute, Inc. in the U.S. and worldwide.

EPRI prepared this report.

Principal Investigator D. Larson

Project Team A. Fan A. Wigington A. Tuohy

This report describes research sponsored by EPRI.

This publication is a corporate document that should be cited in the literature in the following manner: *Guidance on Numerical Weather Prediction: Models and Data Sources*. EPRI, Palo Alto, CA: 2024. 3002027745.

ABSTRACT

Weather forecasts are a key input to applications across system operations, including for shortterm forecasting of load and variable renewable energy (VRE) generation. However, the choice of weather forecast can affect outcomes due to variations in areas such as accuracy, resolution, and update frequency. It is therefore important for consumers of weather forecasts to be informed about the underlying forecast models and data sources. This document summarizes publicly available weather forecast models and data sources and is intended to be used by staff across the electric power industry, including those without backgrounds in meteorology.

Keywords

Numerical weather prediction (NWP) Weather forecasts System operations Short-term forecasting Variable renewable energy Meteorology

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ACCESS: Australian Community Climate and Earth-System Simulator AWS: Amazon Web Services BOM: Australian Bureau of Meteorology **CONUS: Contiguous United States** DHI: Diffuse Horizontal Irradiance DNI: Direct Normal Irradiance ECMWF: European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts ENS: Atmospheric Model Ensemble 15-Day forecast **GEFS: Global Ensemble Forecast System** GFS: Global Forecast System GHI: Global Horizontal Irradiance HRES: Atmospheric Model High Resolution 10-Day Forecast **HRRR: High-Resolution Rapid Refresh** JAM: Japan Meteorology Agency KMA: Korea Meteorology Agency Met Office: United Kingdom Meteorological Office NAM: North American Mesoscale Forecast System NCEP: National Centers for Environmental Prediction NOAA: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration **NWP: Numerical Weather Prediction RAP: Rapid Refresh RRFS: Rapid Refresh Forecast System**

CONTENTS

1	Introduction1
2	NWP Models
	Global Models4
	Regional Models in North America5
	Regional Models Outside of North America8
3	NWP Data Sources
	Official Sources 11
	Cloud Providers 11
	Amazon Web Services
	Google Cloud
	Microsoft Azure
	Other Sources
4	Getting Started with NWP Data13
	Opening NWP Data Files
	Downloading NWP Data
5	Summary 15

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Key steps in the NWP forecasting process.	2
Figure 2. Comparison of spatial grid resolutions overlaid on Southern California. Black dots indicate grid points.	2
Figure 3. Temperature forecasts on July 10, 2023, at 18:00 UTC from two global NWP models: GFS and HRES.	5
Figure 4. CONUS, Alaska, Hawaii, and North America spatial domains of NWP models from NOAA.	6
Figure 5. Eastern North Pacific, Pacific, Central America/Caribbean, and Puerto Rico spatial domains of NWP models from NOAA.	6
Figure 6. Temperature forecasts on July 10, 2023, at 19:00 UTC from three NWP models for CONUS. The right subplots show zoomed-in views of ~110 km x ~110 km	8
Figure 7. Example of opening an NWP file (grib2 format) in Python. The output is clipped for readability purposes	13
Figure 8. Example of downloading NWP data in Python using the Herbie package. The output is clipped for readability purposes	14
Figure 9. Example of downloading NWP data in Python using the ecmwf-opendata package. The output is clipped for readability purposes	14

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Global NWP models	4
Table 2. Ensemble global NWP models	5
Table 3. NWP models from NOAA for North America	7
Table 4. Regional NWP models outside of North America	9
Table 5. Data sources for NWP models from NOAA and ECMWF	10

1 INTRODUCTION

Weather can be a key factor for a range of applications and timescales across the electric power industry. For system operations, it is important to have accurate information regarding current weather conditions, as well as forecasts of how the weather will evolve over the next few hours, days or even weeks. This is particularly true for systems with increasing penetrations of variable renewable energy (VRE), e.g., solar and wind. But having accurate weather information is still important for systems without VRE due to, e.g., the relationship between temperature and energy demand.

There are a multitude of data sources for weather observations¹. For weather forecasts, a common source is numerical weather prediction (NWP). Per the name, NWP involves using numerical models to simulate how temperature, wind speed, solar irradiance, etc. will change over time and space. Figure 1 summarizes the key steps in producing weather forecasts using NWP:

- 1. Initialization: Weather observations are collected and then assimilated to determine the initial conditions and boundary conditions of the numerical model. The observation data can come from a variety of sources, including but not limited to weather stations, geostationary satellites, radar, and aircraft. This is a recurring process, though not all observation data is updated at the same frequency.
- **2. Computation:** After initialization, the next step is to execute the model, which numerically simulates how the weather will evolve over space and time from its initial state based on the underlying physics. The computational complexity typically requires the use of a high-performance computing (HPC) cluster to ensure the computation finishes fast enough.
- **3. Output:** After a successful run, forecast values from the NWP model are exported as data files and then disseminated.

Despite following the same general process, NWP models can vary significantly. For example, Figure 2 illustrates how three NWP models can cover the same spatial region but have very different spatial grid resolutions. But beyond spatial resolution, NWP models can also vary in spatial domain, time resolution, update frequency, variables being forecasted, etc. As a result, it is important for consumers of NWP data to be aware of what NWP models exist and how to access their data.

The rest of the document is organized as follows. Section 2 summarizes publicly available NWP models—including their key technical specifications—relevant to applications across the electric power industry. Then Section 3 links to public NWP data sources, while Section 4 provides guidance on getting started with NWP data. Finally, Section 5 concludes with key takeaways.

¹ *Guidance on Weather Datasets for Studies: Data Sources and Use Cases for Historical Datasets.* EPRI, Palo Alto, CA: 2023. 3002024375.



Figure 1. Key steps in the NWP forecasting process.



Figure 2. Comparison of spatial grid resolutions overlaid on Southern California. Black dots indicate grid points.

2 NWP MODELS

This section provides an overview of NWP models and their parameters. The goal is to not provide an exhaustive list of NWP models, but instead focus on currently available and commonly used models for applications across operational timescales, i.e., hours to days or weeks ahead. The tables include the following information:

- 1. Model: name of the NWP model
- 2. Source: organization responsible for running the model, e.g., NOAA
- 3. **Spatial Resolution:** spatial resolution of the horizontal grid in km
- 4. **Time Resolution:** time resolution of the forecast values
- 5. **Forecast Horizon:** maximum lookahead time of the forecasts, e.g., 84 hours means the forecast output covers 1, 2, 3, ..., 84 hours ahead inclusive
- 6. **Update Frequency:** how often new forecasts are generated, e.g., every hour or once per day

Before proceeding to the tables, a few notes:

- NWP models commonly use horizontal spatial grids defined as functions of latitude and longitude in degrees. For ease of interpretation, we have approximated the spatial resolution of all NWP models in kilometers (km) based on 1 degree latitude being approximately equal to 111 km.
- Most NWP models are defined on 3D spatial grids (latitude, longitude, and altitude). We
 have elected to ignore the vertical spatial resolution in our overview as many applications
 only require weather forecasts at the surface. However, for those requiring weather
 forecasts at multiple altitudes, e.g., 100m for wind turbines, note that vertical grids are
 sometimes defined in terms of pressure levels rather than meters above the surface.
- The information in the summary tables is based on review of current documentation for each NWP model. Therefore, some of the information in this overview may become outdated as new versions of NWP models are deployed. For example, version 4 of the High-Resolution Rapid Refresh (HRRR) model deployed in 2019 extended the forecast horizon from 18 hours for all cycles to 48 hours for the 00/06/12/18 UTC cycles.
- Weather forecasts from the NWP models in this overview are all considered publicly available and therefore accessible without payment. However, public access may be limited or restricted for individuals outside of specific regions. For example, those in Europe can access all ECMWF data, but those in North America can only access a subset of the data. However, in the case of ECMWF, paid access is also available via commercial licensing.
- While most NWP models provide the same set of weather variables, there can be differences between what weather variables are available and how those variables are defined. For example, the HRRR and NAM models from NOAA both provide GHI forecasts

across CONUS, but HRRR also provides DNI and DHI forecasts. As another example, ECMWF models define GHI and other solar irradiance variables in units of energy density [J/m²], whereas NOAA models use units of power density [W/m²].

Global Models

Table 1 compares common NWP models that forecast on global spatial domains. Figure 3 visualizes sample forecasts of ambient temperature at the surface for the GFS and HRES models for the same timestamp.

Both GFS and HRES have analogous ensemble models, as described in Table 2. In NWP, ensemble models provide multiple forecasts by perturbating the underlying model. The multiple forecasts—ensemble members—provide insight into the uncertainty of the forecasts. For example, ensemble forecasts are commonly used for hurricanes, where each ensemble member predicts a potential path of the hurricane, as seen in visualizations such as spaghetti plots. Or the variation in the ensemble members is used to indicate the level of uncertainty in the forecasts, e.g., via cone of uncertainty plots.

Note that ECMWF implemented the IFS Cycle 48r1 on June 27, 2023, which upgraded the horizontal resolution of the ENS model from 18 km to 9 km globally. As a result, ENS data before and after the implementation date have different spatial resolution. In addition, Cycle 48r1 updated the forecast models and data assimilation, which has led to better forecast quality for both the HRES and ENS models.²

Model	Source	Spatial Resolution	Time Resolution	Forecast Horizon	Update Frequency
Global Forecast System	NOAA	28 km	Hourly (0-120 hours ahead), 3-hourly (123- 384 hours ahead) 284 hours (00/06/12		Every 6 hours (00/06/12/18 UTC)
(GFS)		56 km	2 hourly		
		111 km	3-nouny		
Atmospheric Model High Resolution 10-Day Forecast (HRES)	ECMWF	9 km	Hourly (0-90 hours ahead), 3-hourly (93- 144 hours ahead), 6- hourly (150-240 hours ahead)	240 hours (every 00/12 UTC cycle), 90 hours (every 06/18 UTC cycle)	Every 6 hours (00/06/12/18 UTC)

Table 1. Global NWP models.

² ECMWF upgrade: <u>https://www.ecmwf.int/en/about/media-centre/news/2023/model-upgrade-increases-skill-and-unifies-medium-range-resolutions</u>

Table 2. Ensemble global NWP models.

Model	Source	Ensemble Members	Spatial Resolution	Time Resolution	Forecast Horizon	Update Frequency
Global Ensemble Forocast	ΝΟΑΑ	30	28 km	3-Hourly	240 hours	Every 6 hours (00/06/12/18 UTC)
System (GEFS)			56 km		384 hours	
Atmospheric model Ensemble 15-Day forecast (ENS)	ECMWF	51	9 km	Hourly (0-90 hours ahead), 3-hourly (93- 144 hours ahead), 6- hourly (150- 360 hours ahead)	360 hours (Every 00/12 UTC cycle), 144 hours (Every 06/18 UTC cycle)	Every 6 hours (00/06/12/18 UTC)



Figure 3. Temperature forecasts on July 10, 2023, at 18:00 UTC from two global NWP models: GFS and HRES.

Regional Models in North America

Table 3 summarizes regional NWP models from NOAA for North America. For ease of comparison, we have also included models whose spatial domain covers a subregion of North

America, e.g., CONUS or Hawaii. See Figure 4 and Figure 5 for visual comparisons of the spatial domains. Additionally, there are multiple models with the same spatial domain, but differing spatial resolutions, forecast horizons, etc. For example, Figure 6 compares forecasts from three NWP models with the same domain (CONUS) but with varying spatial resolutions: HRRR (3 km), NAM NEST (5 km) and RAP (13 km).

Note that NOAA is developing the Rapid Refresh Forecast System (RRFS), a next generation regional NWP model that will replace HRRR, RAP and NAM. While RRFS is not expected to be operationally available until late 2024, experimental data from RRFS is already available on AWS S3.³ Additionally, NOAA has indicated they will discontinue running the NWP models that RRFS replaces, e.g., HRRR, within less than 6 months of when RRFS becomes operationally available.



Figure 4. CONUS, Alaska, Hawaii, and North America spatial domains of NWP models from NOAA.





³ Experimental data from RRFS is available on AWS S3: <u>https://registry.opendata.aws/noaa-rrfs/</u>

Table 3. NWP models from NOAA for North America.

Model	del Domain Spatial Time Resolution Resolution		Forecast Horizon	Update Frequency		
	CONUS			48 hours (00/06/12/18 UTC cycles), 18 hours (all other cycles)	Hourly	
High- Resolution Rapid Refresh (HRRR)	Alaska	3 km	Hourly	48 hours (00/06/12/18 UTC cycles), 18 hours (03/09/15/21 UTC cycles)	Every 3 hours	
(,	CONUS (sub-hourly) ⁴		15 minutes		Hourly	
	Alaska (sub-hourly)			18 Hours	Every 3 hours	
	CONUS	13/20/40 km		51 hours (03/09/15/21 UTC cycles), 21 hours (all other cycles)	Hourly	
Ranid	North America	32 km	Hourly			
Refresh	Alaska	11 km				
(RAP)	Puerto Rico	16 km				
	Eastern North Pacific	44 km				
	CONUS	12 km	Hourly		Every 6 hours (00/06/12/18 UTC)	
North	North America	32 km	поиту			
American Mesoscale Forecast	Alaska	6/11/40 km		85 hours		
System (NAM)	Central America/Caribbean	12 km	3-Hourly			
	Pacific	12/40 km				
	CONUS	5 km			Every 6 hours (00/06/12/18 UTC)	
	Alaska	6 km	Llaundur	C1 hours		
INAIVI INES I	Hawaii	3 km	nouriy	OT HOURS		
	Puerto Rico	3 km				

⁴ HRRR has a sub-hourly version where predictions are made for the forecast hour as well as 15, 30, and 45 minutes prior to the forecast hour. The sub-hourly HRRR has fewer model levels, fewer parameters, and a shorter forecast horizon compared to the hourly HRRR forecast.



Figure 6. Temperature forecasts on July 10, 2023, at 19:00 UTC from three NWP models for CONUS. The right subplots show zoomed-in views of \sim 110 km x \sim 110 km.

Regional Models Outside of North America

Table 4 lists NWP models for regions outside of North America. Note that the table does not include all regions of the world, nor does it include all NWP models available for each of the included regions. And for regions lacking a regional NWP model, users could instead use a global NWP model, e.g., GFS or HRES.

Table 4. Regional NWP models outside of North America.

Model	Source	Domain	Spatial Resolution	Time Resolution	Forecast Horizon	Update Frequency
Meso-Scale Model (MSM)	JMA	Japan	5 km	Hourly	78 hours (00/12 UTC cycles) 39 hours (all other cycles)	Every 3 hours
Local Forecast Model (LFM)	JMA	Japan	2 km	Hourly	10 hours	Hourly
Very Short- Range Forecast Model (KLAPS)	КМА	Korean Peninsula	5 km	Hourly	12 hours	144 times/day
UK Atmosphere (UKV)	Met Office	UK and Republic of Ireland	1.5 km inner domain, 4 km outer domain	Hourly (0- 48 hours ahead), 3- hourly (51- 120 hours ahead)	120 hours (03/15 UTC cycles), 54 hours (all other run)	Every 3 hours
ACCESS-R	вом	Australia	12 km	3-hourly	72 hours	Every 6 hours (00/06/12/18 UTC)
ACCESS-A	вом	Australia	12 km	3-hourly	48 hours	Every 6 hours (00/06/12/18 UTC)
ACCESS-T	вом	Australia, SE Asia, SW Pacific, Fiji	37.5 km	6-hourly	72 hours	Every 12 hours (00/12 UTC)

3 NWP DATA SOURCES

NWP data can be access through multiple sources. Table 5 lists official sources and cloud archives which provide public access to NWP data files. For more information on the listed NWP models, see Section 2.

Model	Access link	Date Availability
	NCEP HRRR Products Inventory	Past 2 days
	AWS	
	Bucket of Grib2 files	2014–present
HRRR	Bucket of ZARR files	
	Google Cloud	2014-present
	Microsoft Azure	2021-present
	NCEP RAP Products Inventory	Past 2 days
	NWS/COMET archive of RAP hybrid (native) grids	Current year
RAP	AWS	2021-present
	Google Cloud	2021–present
	Microsoft Azure	Past 30 days
	NCEP NAM Products Inventory	Past 8 days
NAM	AWS	2021–present
	NOAA Website	2007 until 2 days ago
	NCEP GFS Products Inventory	Past 10 days
	NCEI Server	Current year
GFS	AWS	2021-present
	Google Cloud	2023-present
	Microsoft Azure	Past 30 days
	NCEP GEFS Products Inventory	Past 4 days
GEFS	AWS	2017–present
	Microsoft Azure	Past 30 days
	ECMWF	Past 4 days
HRES and ENS	AWS	2023–present
	Microsoft Azure	Past 30 days

Table 5. Data sources for NWP models from NOAA and ECMWF.

Official Sources

The organizations responsible for each publicly available NWP model maintain an official archive for accessing data files. Generally, these official sources are the most reliable and up to date, with the smallest time lag between when an NWP run is scheduled and when data files are available. However, these official sources also tend to only provide data for the most recent few days.

Cloud Providers

Data from several NWP models is available via cloud providers. Many factors could influence the choice between using an official source vs an archive on a cloud provider, as well as between cloud providers. For the purposes of this document, we will only note a few practical differences between archives on three of the largest cloud providers: Amazon Web Services (AWS), Google Cloud and Microsoft Azure.

Amazon Web Services

Amazon Web Services (AWS) has continuously updated archives of most NOAA models (HRRR, NAM, etc.) and some ECMWF data. Users can browse and download individual files via their web browser without needing to sign-up or install any additional software. Alternatively, users can programmatically access data using tools such as the official AWS Command Line Interface (CLI)⁵, AWS Software Development Kit (SDK) for languages such as Python⁶, or other open-source tools such as the Herbie package for Python.

One unique feature of the AWS archives is that the HRRR data is available both in its original grib2 file format and the cloud optimized Zarr format. For consumers of NWP data, using Zarr enables more efficient data access by allowing the user to query the full dataset in the cloud but then only pulling the final selection of data. For example, consider the case where a user wants surface air temperature forecasts from HRRR for the entire state of Alabama. If using grib2, the user will need to download grib2 files from AWS containing forecasts for all of CONUS and all variables (~140 MB per timestep), load the data file, and then select only the air temperature forecasts over Alabama. In contrast using Zarr, the user can select only the air temperature forecasts over Alabama and then only download that portion of the dataset (<1 MB per timestep).

Unfortunately, the Zarr version of HRRR data on AWS does have downsides. First, the Zarr data is updated ~3 hours after each HRRR run due to the time it takes to process the grib2 files into Zarr format. Second, the Zarr data files have some missing data due to a processing error. For example, the Zarr files lack the GHI, DNI and DHI variables. Third, the Zarr data has the x and y coordinates in the native Lambert Conformal Conic projection instead of latitudes and

⁵ AWS Command Line Interface: <u>https://aws.amazon.com/cli/</u>

⁶ AWS SDK for Python: <u>https://aws.amazon.com/sdk-for-python/</u>

longitudes. However, users can convert the coordinates via standard projection techniques using, e.g., Python.

Google Cloud

Google Cloud has a continuously updated archives of the HRRR, RAP, and GFS models from NOAA. Similar to AWS, users can browse and download files using their web browser or programmatically access data using the Google Cloud CLI⁷ or open-source software such as the Herbie package for Python. However, users must first sign into or create a Google account.

Microsoft Azure

Microsoft Azure has continuously updated archives of most NOAA models (HRRR, GFS, etc.). However, unlike the AWS and Google Cloud archives, users cannot browse files in the Azure archives using a web browser. Instead, users must programmatically interface with the archives on Azure, e.g., using Python. But like AWS, users do not need to sign up before downloading data.

Lastly, ECMWF data is also available on Azure. However, the Azure archives only contain the last 30 days of ECMWF data.

Other Sources

The University of Utah hosts a publicly available archive of HRRR data. The ease of downloading historical data has made this archive popular among researchers and those getting started with NWP data. However, this is not an official archive and there are no guarantees of availability or technical support provided. Therefore, this archive is not recommended for any non-research applications. We note the existence of this University of Utah archive to preemptively answer questions given the archive continues to be used and cited by the research community.

There are also commercial vendors who offer weather forecast data as a service, including VRE generation forecast providers. The specifics and costs can vary, but this weather forecast data often is either derived or taken directly from public NWP models. For example, there are VRE generation forecast providers who offer an application programming interface (API) that allows downloading of weather forecasts—on demand—from specific NWP models, e.g., a day-ahead forecast of temperature from HRRR. However, a survey of commercial forecast providers is beyond the scope of this report.

⁷ Google Cloud CLI: <u>https://cloud.google.com/storage/docs/access-public-data#command-line</u>

4 GETTING STARTED WITH NWP DATA

Here we provide notes to help get started with using NWP data. The included code examples are based on Python 3 and open-source Python packages. However, NWP data can also be accessed using other programming languages, e.g., MATLAB, R and Julia. But to the best of our knowledge, the binary file formats used to store NWP data, e.g., grib2, cannot be directly opened in Excel, Power BI, Tableau, or other general-purpose data tools. Instead, a user would need to first convert the NWP data files into a compatible format, e.g., CSV.

Opening NWP Data Files

ds = xr.open_dataset(

NWP data is typically provided in binary file formats designed to efficiently store gridded datasets. The most common formats include grib2, netCDF, and HDF5. Figure 7 provides an example of opening a single grib2 file—containing forecasts from the HRRR model—using the Xarray package for Python⁸. Once loaded into memory, the forecast data can be queried, plotted, etc. in a similar fashion to other array data.



"hrrr.t00z.wrfsfcf00.grib2",

"typeOfLevel": "surface", "stepType": "instant",



> python 1_xarr	ay.py
<pre><xarray.dataset< pre=""></xarray.dataset<></pre>	>
Dimensions:	(y: 1059, x: 1799)
Coordinates:	
time	datetime64[ns]
step	timedelta64[ns]
surface	float64
latitude	(y, x) float64
longitude	(y, x) float64
valid_time	datetime64[ns]
Dimensions with	out coordinates: y, x
Data variables:	(12/36)
vis	(y, x) float32
gust	(v. x) float32

Figure 7. Example of opening an NWP file (grib2 format) in Python. The output is clipped for readability purposes.

Downloading NWP Data

Figure 8 provides an example of downloading NWP data from cloud-based archives using the Herbie package for Python⁹. NWP data files can be downloaded in the same way one downloads, e.g., a CSV file. But the Herbie package provides a convenient interface for downloading NWP data from NOAA, wherein the user specifies desired model, forecast issue time, forecast horizon, etc. and then the package handles acquiring the relevant data files. Additionally, Herbie interfaces with Xarray.

⁸ Xarray Python package: <u>https://docs.xarray.dev/en/stable/</u>

⁹ Herbie Python package: <u>https://herbie.readthedocs.io/en/stable/</u>

(a) Code





Figure 8. Example of downloading NWP data in Python using the Herbie package. The output is clipped for readability purposes.

Figure 9 illustrates downloading ECMWF models using the ecmwf-opendata package¹⁰. The process is similar to Herbie wherein the user specifies the model, forecast issue time, forecast horizon, etc. and then the package handles pulling the relevant data files.



Figure 9. Example of downloading NWP data in Python using the ecmwf-opendata package. The output is clipped for readability purposes.

¹⁰ ecmwf-opendata Python package: <u>https://github.com/ecmwf/ecmwf-opendata</u>

5 SUMMARY

Weather forecasts continue to be a key consideration for many applications across system operations. As the choice of weather forecast can impact application outcomes, it is important for consumers of weather forecasts to be knowledgeable about available data and therefore able to make informed decisions. This document summarized numerical weather prediction (NWP) models, which are the most common source of weather forecasts for operational timescales of hours to days or weeks ahead. Additionally, this document provided guidance on getting started with NWP data, including publicly available sources for both real-time and historical data access.

The follow are key takeaways:

- 1. **NWP models:** There are a multitude of NWP models that continuously provide gridded weather forecasts for regions across the globe. The models can vary in terms of spatial domain, spatial resolution, forecast horizon, update frequency, weather variables, and other factors. Additionally, there is active research and development efforts to improve upon existing NWP models, including for specialized subjects such as extreme weather and wildfire smoke.
- 2. Data availability: NOAA in the US, ECMWF in Europe and other organizations across the globe provide public access to weather forecasts from their NWP models. Many of these weather forecasts are already in use across the electric power industry, including by commercial tools and forecast providers. But anyone can access and use these public data sources directly.
- 3. Getting started: NWP data is typically stored in binary file formats such as grib2 or netCDF, which cannot be opened directly with general-purpose data tools such as Excel, Power BI, or Tableau. However, there is a rich ecosystem of existing software, including open-source packages in Python and other popular programming languages, that new and experienced users alike can leverage. Additionally, users can get started without prior backgrounds in meteorology.

Further work in this area may explore how to blend weather forecasts from multiple NWP models—or multiple forecasts more generally—to achieve more accurate forecasts compared to a single source. Another topic could be to examine the interplay of weather forecasts and operational decisions during low frequency but high impact events, e.g., Winter Storm Elliot.

About EPRI

Founded in 1972, EPRI is the world's preeminent independent, non-profit energy research and development organization, with offices around the world. EPRI's trusted experts collaborate with more than 450 companies in 45 countries, driving innovation to ensure the public has clean, safe, reliable, affordable, and equitable access to electricity across the globe. Together, we are shaping the future of energy.

Program:

Bulk System Integration of Renewables and Distributed Energy Resources, P173

3002027745

© 2024 Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI), Inc. All rights reserved. Electric Power Research Institute, EPRI, and TOGETHER...SHAPING THE FUTURE OF ENERGY are registered marks of the Electric Power Research Institute, Inc. in the U.S. and worldwide.