Commercial Kitchen Ventilation Performance Report

Electric Underfired Broiler Under Wall-Mounted Canopy Hood

TR-106493-V10
3563-06
Final Report, May 1997

Prepared by
International Facility Management Association
Houston, Texas

Architectural Energy Corporation
Boulder, Colorado
Wood Dale, Illinois

Prepared for
Electric Power Research Institute
3412 Hillview Avenue
Palo Alto, California 94304

EPRI Project Manager
W. Krill
Commercial Technologies and Services
Customer Systems Group

DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTIES AND LIMITATION OF LIABILITIES

THIS REPORT WAS PREPARED BY THE ORGANIZATION(S) NAMED BELOW AS AN ACCOUNT OF WORK SPONSORED OR COSPONSORED BY THE ELECTRIC POWER RESEARCH INSTITUTE, INC. (EPRI). NEITHER EPRI, ANY MEMBER OF EPRI, ANY COSPONSOR, THE ORGANIZATION(S) NAMED BELOW, NOR ANY PERSON ACTING ON BEHALF OF ANY OF THEM:

(A) MAKES ANY WARRANTY OR REPRESENTATION WHATSOEVER, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, (I) WITH RESPECT TO THE USE OF ANY INFORMATION, APPARATUS, METHOD, PROCESS, OR SIMILAR ITEM DISCLOSED IN THIS REPORT, INCLUDING MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, OR (II) THAT SUCH USE DOES NOT INFRINGE ON OR INTERFERE WITH PRIVATELY OWNED RIGHTS, INCLUDING ANY PARTY'S INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, OR (III) THAT THIS REPORT IS SUITABLE TO ANY PARTICULAR USER'S CIRCUMSTANCE; OR

(B) ASSUMES RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY DAMAGES OR OTHER LIABILITY WHATSOEVER (INCLUDING ANY CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, EVEN IF EPRI OR ANY EPRI REPRESENTATIVE HAS BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES) RESULTING FROM YOUR SELECTION OR USE OF THIS REPORT OR ANY INFORMATION, APPARATUS, METHOD, PROCESS, OR SIMILAR ITEM DISCLOSED IN THIS REPORT.

ORGANIZATION(S) THAT PREPARED THIS REPORT:

INTERNATIONAL FACILITY MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATION
ARCHITECTURAL ENERGY CORPORATION

ORDERING INFORMATION

Requests for copies of this report should be directed to EPRI Distribution Center, 207 Coggins Drive, P.O. Box 23205, Pleasant Hill, CA 94523, (510) 934-4212.

Electric Power Research Institute and EPRI are registered service marks of Electric Power Research Institute, Inc.
EPRI. POWERING PROGRESS is a service mark of Electric Power Research Institute, Inc.
Copyright © 1997 Electric Power Research Institute, Inc. All rights reserved.

REPORT SUMMARY

This report documents testing of ventilation requirements for a three-foot electric underfired broiler positioned under an exhaust-only canopy hood. This appliance and hood combination is one of a series undertaken to provide electric utilities and the foodservice industry with data to optimize the design of commercial kitchen ventilation systems and integrate exhaust requirements with space conditioning design.

Background

For many commercial cooking operations, building codes and safety standards have prescribed ventilation rates that are well above those required for full capture and containment of the cooking effluents. Ventilation rates also affect energy used for heating and cooling foodservice facilities as radiation from appliances and hoods are significant contributors to kitchen heat gain. Optimizing ventilation ratings required for different appliances has the potential to reduce HVAC energy cost in restaurants and other foodservice facilities by one half.

Objective

To provide electric utilities and the commercial cooking industry with data to optimize the design of commercial kitchen exhaust systems and to optimize interaction with heating, ventilating, and air conditioning.

Approach

The project team tested a three-foot electric underfired broiler operating at a surface temperature of 600°F positioned under an exhaust-only canopy hood. The team conducted the tests according to the ASTM F-1704-96 Standard Test Method for Performance of Commercial Kitchen Ventilation Systems. Tests included determination of the minimum exhaust air flow rate to achieve full capture and containment of the effluent plume, as well as the net heat gain to the kitchen space under "idle" and “cooking” conditions.

Results

The building code capture and containment rate for the tested hood and appliance combination is close to the rate required. For the electric underfired broiler operating at 600°F, idle capture and containment flow rate is 30% less, and the cooking capture and

containment flow rate is 3% more than the building code rate. Full capture and containment can be achieved with less exhaust in this case.

Heat gains at idle and at cooking capture and containment rates were more than the values calculated from data in the 1993 edition of the ASHRAE Handbook of Fundamentals.

EPRI Perspective

Results of other tests of commercial kitchen ventilation performance are reported in the other volumes of this report:

Volume 1: Gas Fryers Under Canopy Hood
Volume 2: Electric Fryers Under Canopy Hood
Volume 3: Gas Griddle Under Canopy Hood
Volume 4: Electric Griddle Under Canopy Hood
Volume 5: Gas Griddle Under Backshelf Hood
Volume 6: Electric Griddle Under Backshelf Hood
Volume 7: Electric Full-Size Convection Oven Under Canopy Hood
Volume 8: Full-Size Gas Convection Oven Under Wall-Mounded Canopy Hood
Volume 9: Six-Element Electric Range To Under Wall-Mounded Canopy Hood

TR-106493-V10

Interest Category

Appliances

Key Words

Foodservice
Appliances
Energy efficiency
Demand-side management

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research team would like to thank Mr. Wayne Krill at Electric Power Research Institute for his extraordinary support and guidance for the Commercial Kitchen Ventilation Research Project during the past three years.

As part of our research project, we participated in an inter-laboratory test program conducted with American Gas Association Research to support development of the Standard Test Method for Performance of Commercial Kitchen Ventilation Systems which has been adopted as ASTM Standard F1704-96. We would like to thank Mr. Eliott Gordon of Halton Company, formerly CKV Program Manager with AGA Research, and Mr. Fuoad Parvin, Project Manager, AGA Research, for the opportunity to work with them in refining the Standard Test Method.

Mr. Don Fisher and Ms. Judy Nickel of Fisher Consultants and their research team at PG&E's Food Service Technology Center in San Ramon, California, have provided superior technical support throughout our investigations. We would like to thank our fellow researchers for teaching us what it takes to create large volumes of engineered french fries, hamburgers, and baked potatoes with high precision.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report documents performance testing of a three-foot electric underfired broiler operating at a surface temperature of 600°F positioned under an exhaust-only canopy hood. This set of tests is one of a series undertaken to provide electric utilities and the food service industry with data to promote minimum energy ventilation design by optimizing the design of commercial kitchen exhaust hoods and integrating exhaust requirements with space-conditioning design. The tests were conducted according to ASTM F1704-96 Standard Test Method for Performance of Commercial Kitchen Ventilation Systems,[1] except as otherwise noted.

The performance tests included the determination of the minimum exhaust air flow rate to achieve full capture and containment of the effluent plume, as well as the net heat gain to the kitchen space under "idle" and "cooking" conditions. The appliance and hood test setup consisted of a three-foot electric underfired broiler positioned under a five-foot wide by four-foot deep exhaust-only canopy hood.

The UL Listed flow rate for the tested exhaust hood is 37% less than required for the electric underfired broiler under cooking test conditions.

The building code capture and containment rate for this hood and appliance combination is closer to the rate required. For the electric underfired broiler, idle capture and containment flow rate is 30% less, and the cooking capture and containment flow rate is 3% more than the building code rate. Full capture and containment can be achieved with less exhaust in the case of appliance idling. A two-speed ventilation system could be used for this hood and appliance combination to reduce ventilation during idle periods.

Three-Foot Electric Underfired Broiler	Idle C & C	Cooking C & C	UL	Code
Capture & Containment (scfm)	1400	2050	1300	2000
Capture & Containment (scfm/linear ft)	280	410	260	400

Heat gain at idle and cooking capture and containment rates was greater than the value calculated from data in the 1993 edition of the ASHRAE Handbook of Fundamentals. The ASHRAE heat gain value was calculated to be 1.4 kBtu/h for a three-foot electric underfired broiler at idle condition using the data from Table 8 in Chapter 26, compared to 9.01 kBtu/h at the idle capture and containment exhaust flow rate, 8.77 kBtu/h at the cooking capture and containment exhaust flow rate, and 5.75 kBtu/h

during cooking conditions. For the series of tests reported, the ASHRAE calculated value understates heat gain.

Three-Foot Electric Underfired Broiler	At Idle C & C	At Cooking C& C	At Code Exhaust Rate
Heat Gain to Space During Idle Conditions (kBtu/h)	9.01	8.77	8.50
Heat Gain to Space During Idle Conditions (kBtu/h per square foot) *	1.91	1.86	1.80

Three-Foot Electric Underfired Broiler	At ASTM F1695-96 Recommended Flow Rate (300 scfm/linft)
Heat Gain to Space During Cooking Conditions (kBtu/h)	5.75
Heat Gain to Space During Cooking Conditions (kBtu/h per square foot) *	1.22

* Based on the actual cooking surface area of the appliance.

Results of these tests are provided to publishers of building codes and design standards to improve the technical basis for cooking ventilation, occupancy ventilation, and HVAC related requirements and recommendations.

CONTENTS

Section	Page
1 INTRODUCTION	**1-1**
2 EQUIPMENT TESTED	**2-1**
3 TEST RESULTS	**3-1**
4 CONCLUSIONS	**4-1**
General Observations	**4-1**
Comparison of Test Data with Building Codes and ASHRAE Data	**4-1**
A THEORY AND ANALYSIS	**A-1**
Capture and Containment Testing	**A-2**
Heat Gain to Space Testing	**A-3**
B LABORATORY	**B-1**
Computer Software and Hardware	**B-2**
Instrumentation	**B-3**
C TEST METHODOLOGY	**C-1**
Pre-Test Operations	**C-1**
Test Operations	**C-1**

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure **Page**

2-1 Cross Section View of Appliance and Hood Configuration **2-2**

3-1 Appliance Energy Input, Energy Exhausted, and Heat Gain to Space for a Three-Foot Electric Underfired Broiler under a Five-Foot Exhaust-Only Canopy Hood During Idle Conditions **3-1**

3-2 Heat Gain to Space at Idle Conditions for a Three-Foot Electric Underfired Broiler at Idle, Cooking, and Mechanical Code Capture and Containment Exhaust Flow Rates **3-2**

3-3 Schlieren Photograph of the Electric Underfired Broiler Showing Capture And Containment at Idle, 280 Scfm/Linear-Foot of Hood **3-3**

3-4 Schlieren Photograph of the Electric Underfired Broiler Showing Spillage at Idle, 230 Scfm/Linear-Foot of Hood **3-4**

3-5 Schlieren Photograph of the Electric Underfired Broiler During Cooking at 410 Scfm/Linear-Foot of Hood **3-4**

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
3-1	Summary of Capture and Containment Flow Rate and Heat Gain With and Without Side Panels	**3-3**
3-2	Summary of Appliance, Net Exhaust, and Heat Gain Energy at Idle Conditions by Exhaust Flow Rate	**3-5**
3-3	Summary of Heat Gain at Idle Conditions Uncertainty Analysis	**3-5**
3-4	Summary of Appliance, Net Exhaust, and Heat Gain Energy at Cooking Conditions by Exhaust Flow Rate	**3-6**
3-5	Summary of Heat Gain at Cooking Condition Uncertainty Analysis	**3-6**
4-1	Capture and Containment Exhaust Volumetric Flow Rates Using Laboratory Measured Data at Idle and Cooking, Compared to the UL Listed Rate and the Building Code Rate	**4-2**
4-2	Heat Gain to Space During Idle Conditions Calculated Using Laboratory Measured Data at Idle Capture and Containment, Cooking Capture and Containment and Building Code Exhaust Ventilation Rates	**4-2**
4-3	Heat Gain to Space During Cooking Conditions Calculated Using Laboratory Measured Data at Cooking Capture and Containment Rates	**4-2**

1

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of the reported research is to provide electric utilities and the commercial cooking industry with data to optimize the design of commercial kitchen exhaust hoods and to optimize interaction with heating, ventilating, and air conditioning systems.

Results of the reported tests are provided to publishers of building codes and design standards to improve the public domain database relative to commercial cooking ventilation, including the American Society of Heating, Refrigeration, and Air-conditioning Engineers[2] and the publishers of the International Building Mechanical Code[3] A desired outcome of the research is to develop optimized cooking ventilation strategies for various combinations of cooking appliance and exhaust hood styles, which may reduce new construction costs and operating costs for the restaurant owner and contribute to overall improvement of the environment.

The reported tests were performed using ASTM F1704-96 Standard Test Method for the Performance of Commercial Kitchen Ventilation Systems (CKV-STM). See Appendix A for a summary of the test method. Performance of commercial kitchen hoods requires assessing the ability of the hood to capture and contain thermal plume and/or cooking effluent and quantifying the heat gain to the kitchen space from the cooking appliances. Capture and containment is evaluated using visualization techniques. Direct measurement of heat gain to the kitchen space is very difficult and expensive to perform. Therefore the CKV-STM uses an indirect approach in which heat gain is calculated using an energy balance protocol. The heat from an appliance, in the idle or cooking mode, is transferred to the kitchen space primarily by radiation and secondarily by a combination of conduction and convection. The energy balance protocol is based on measurement of the energy added to and removed from the space over the test period while the appliance is operated in the idle or cooking mode.

This report contains results from testing a three-foot electric underfired broiler under a five-foot wide by four-foot deep exhaust-only, wall-mounted canopy hood.

2

EQUIPMENT TESTED

Tests were performed using a three-foot electric underfired broiler operating at a surface temperature of 600 °F. The underfired broiler is rated 208 V, 3 Ph, 60 Hz, 30 A per leg, and 9.4 kW. The unit has two grates; a separate thermostat controls the temperature of each grate. There is a total of thirty six elements. The overall dimensions of the broiler are 16-in high (including 4.5-in legs) by 36.5-in wide by 29.5-in deep. The two grates each measure 16.75-in wide by 20.25-in deep.

The electric underfired broiler was positioned under an exhaust-only canopy hood in accordance with ASTM F1695-96, Standard Test Method for the Performance of Underfired Broilers.[4] The underfired broiler was set-back behind the front lip of the hood by six inches from the cooking surface. The cooking surface includes the cooking grates. A cross section view of the test setup is shown in Figure 2-1. The hood is UL Listed at 1300 cfm for cooking operation, and is five-feet wide by four-feet deep by two-feet high with three 19-1/2- by 19-1/2-inch standard baffle filters positioned in the upper rear of the hood in front of a 17- by 11-inch duct opening.

The electric underfired broiler was calibrated to an average surface temperature of 600°F per ASTM F1695-96.

The capture and containment flow rates for idle and cooking modes were determined using a schlieren flow visualization system. See Appendix B for a description of the laboratory and instrumentation, including the schlieren flow visualization system. Heat gain testing was performed at idle and cooking C&C flow rates as well as an additional two flow rates that were set using building mechanical code exhaust requirements of 100 cfm per square foot of hood (2000 cfm total) and ASTM F1695-96 cooking performance test flow rate of 400 cfm per linear foot of hood (2000 cfm total). These points were selected to provide additional heat gain data at industry-accepted flow rates and to provide sufficient test points as specified in ASTM 1704-96 to generate an acceptable heat gain curve.

Each test condition was run a minimum of three times to attain statistical certainty prescribed in the CKV-STM. During idle testing, one heat gain test was run at a flow rate below idle capture and containment to aid in characterizing the idle heat gain curve.



Figure 2-1
Cross Section View of Appliance and Hood Configuration

3

TEST RESULTS

The figures and tables in this section summarize the test results for a three-foot electric underfired broiler operating at an average surface temperature of 600°F under a canopy hood during idle and cooking conditions. Figure 3-1 shows the appliance energy input, energy exhausted, and heat gain to the kitchen space during idle conditions. The primary axis shows energy rate in customary heat transfer units (thousands of Btu per hour). Appliance energy input remained relatively constant as the exhaust flow rate increased. At idle, appliance energy consumption was 86% of rated input.




Figure 3-1
Appliance Energy Input, Energy Exhausted, and Heat Gain to Space for a Three-Foot Electric Underfired Broiler under a Five-Foot Exhaust-Only Canopy Hood During Idle Conditions

Heat gain to space during idle conditions increased slightly as ventilation rate decreased from code rate to idle capture and containment rate.




Figure 3-2
Heat Gain to Space at Idle Conditions for a Three-Foot Electric Underfired Broiler at Idle, Cooking, and Mechanical Code Capture and Containment Exhaust Flow Rates

During cooking conditions, on average, the appliance input was 26.3 kBtu/h; 10.4 kBtu/h for energy exhausted, 10.1 kBtu/h for energy to food, and 5.75 kBtu/h for heat gain to the kitchen space. At cooking, appliance energy consumption was 82% of rated input.

Radiant heat gain under cooking conditions is slightly less than under idle conditions. During cooking the food product reduces the temperature seen by the space from 600°F during idle to 42°F at the beginning of the cooking process.

Table 3-1 shows the effects of partial side curtains on capture and containment and heat gain to space. There was a significant decrease in cooking capture and containment rate with partial side curtains.

Table 3-1
Summary of Capture and Containment Flow Rate and Heat Gain With and Without Side Panels

	Canopy Without Side Panels		Canopy With Partial Side Panels	
	(Total SCFM)	(SCFM/LinFt)	(Total SCFM)	(SCFM/LinFt)]
Idle C&C	1400	280	1225	245
Cooking C&C	2050	410	1285	257
	(kBtu/h)		(kBtu/h)	
Idle Heat Gain	9.01		8.43	
Cooking Heat Gain	8.77		8.19	

Figures 3-3 and 3-4 illustrate how capture and containment for the electric underfired broiler under the canopy hood was determined using schlieren flow visualization. The thermal plume rising from the appliance is not seen by the naked eye, but appears as cloud-like swirls when using the schlieren flow visualization technique. Spillage is determined by looking for hot air escaping along the lower lip of the hood, typically at the corners of the hood. The threshold of capture and containment is the point where there is no spillage of the thermal plume from the hood.




Figure 3-3
Schlieren Photograph of the Electric Underfired Broiler Showing Capture And Containment at Idle, 280 Scfm/Linear-Foot of Hood

Figure 3-3 shows full capture and containment at 280 scfm/linft of hood. The threshold of capture and containment for idle conditions was determined to be 280 scfm/linft and for cooking conditions was determined to be 410 scfm/linft. Figure 3-4 shows spillage of thermal plume at 230 scfm/linft under idle conditions. Figure 3-5 shows capture and containment under cooking conditions.




Figure 3-4
Schlieren Photograph of the Electric Underfired Broiler Showing Spillage at Idle, 230 Scfm/Linear-Foot of Hood




Figure 3-5
Schlieren Photograph of the Electric Underfired Broiler During Cooking at 410 Scfm/Linear-Foot of Hood

Table 3-2 shows a summary of results for each test performed at idle conditions. A minimum of three tests were performed at each exhaust flow rate. Table 3-3 shows a summary of the uncertainty calculations.

Table 3-2
Summary of Appliance, Net Exhaust, and Heat Gain Energy at Idle Conditions by Exhaust Flow Rate

Flow Rate Exhaust (SCFM)	Flow Rate Exhaust (SCFM/LinFt)	Energy Rate Appliance (kBtu/h)	Energy Rate Exhaust - Net (kBtu/h)	Energy Rate Heat Gain (kBtu/h)
575	115	27.6	13.4	14.2
1150	230	27.3	18.0	9.34
1400	280	27.3	18.4	8.88
1400	280	27.3	18.4	8.93
1400	280	27.4	18.2	9.21
2000	400	27.0	18.8	8.27
2000	400	27.3	18.7	8.62
2000	400	27.4	18.8	8.60
2050	410	26.9	18.1	8.77
2050	410	27.0	18.2	8.80
2050	410	27.0	18.2	8.72

Table 3-3
Summary of Heat Gain at Idle Conditions Uncertainty Analysis

Flow Rate Exhaust (SCFM)	Flow Rate Exhaust (SCFM/LinFt)	Average Heat Gain Energy Rate (kBtu/h)	Heat Gain Standard Deviation (kBtu/h)	Uncertainty (%)
1400	280	9.01	0.177	4.88%
2000	400	8.50	0.194	5.65%
2050	410	8.77	0.043	1.20%

Table 3-4 shows a summary of results for each test performed at cooking conditions. A minimum of three tests were performed at the cooking capture and containment flow rate. Five cooking tests were performed, yielding a heat gain uncertainty of 5.25%. Table 3-5 shows a summary of the uncertainty calculations.

Table 3-4
Summary of Appliance, Net Exhaust, and Heat Gain Energy at Cooking Conditions by Exhaust Flow Rate

Flow Rate Exhaust (SCFM)	Flow Rate Exhaust (SCFM/LinFt)	Energy Rate Appliance (kBtu/h)	Energy Rate Exhaust - Net (kBtu/h)	Energy Rate To Food (kBtu/h)	Energy Rate Heat Gain (kBtu/h)
2050	410	26.1	10.6	9.40	6.10
2050	410	26.1	10.4	10.1	5.55
2050	410	26.3	10.4	10.4	5.57
2050	410	26.3	10.6	9.84	5.91
2050	410	26.6	10.1	10.9	5.62

Table 3-5
Summary of Heat Gain at Cooking Condition Uncertainty Analysis

Flow Rate Exhaust (SCFM/LinFt)	Average Heat Gain Energy Rate (kBtu/h)	Heat Gain Standard Deviation (kBtu/h)	Uncertainty (%)
410	5.75	0.244	5.25%

4

CONCLUSIONS

General Observations

A significant contributor to kitchen heat gain is radiation from the appliance and hood surfaces. The temperatures of kitchen room surfaces gradually increase which in turn heat up the air in the room. This transfer mechanism adds heat to all objects in the room and is offset slightly by a washing effect on room objects at higher air flow rates.

The rate of heat gain to space changes with exhaust air flow by the following mechanisms:

- At very low air flow rates, below full capture and containment, heat gain to the room increases by convection as well as radiation.
- At low air flow rates, the hood and appliances radiate energy at higher temperatures resulting in greater heat gains. Due to convection, much of the heat generated by the appliance is transferred into the exhaust air stream which is removed from the room by the exhaust hood system.
- As the exhaust air flow is increased, more energy is removed by convection, resulting in a small reduction in heat gain to the room since radiation from the appliance surfaces and the hood surfaces is slightly reduced.

During cooking, a large fraction of the energy consumed by the appliance is used to vaporize water in the food and to raise the temperature of the food as it is cooked.

Comparison of Test Data with Building Codes and ASHRAE Data

Idle capture and containment was achieved marginally above the UL Listed rate and substantially below the building code rate.

The UL Listed flow rate for the tested exhaust hood is about 37% less than required for the electric underfired broiler under cooking test conditions.

The building code capture and containment rate for this hood and appliance combination is closer to the rate required. For the three-foot electric underfired broiler operating at 600°F surface temperature, idle capture and containment flow rate is 30% less, and the cooking capture and containment flow rate is 3% more than building code rate. Full capture and containment can be achieved with less exhaust when the appliance is idling. Table 4-1 shows the minimum capture and containment exhaust volumetric flow rates measured under idle and cooking, as well as the UL Listed and building code rates.

Table 4-1
Capture and Containment Exhaust Volumetric Flow Rates Using Laboratory Measured Data at Idle and Cooking, Compared to the UL Listed Rate and the Building Code Rate

Three-Foot Electric Underfired Broiler	Idle C & C	Cooking C & C	UL	Code
Capture & Containment (scfm)	1400	2050	1300	2000
Capture & Containment (scfm/linear ft)	280	410	260	400

Heat gain at idle and cooking capture and containment rates was greater than the figure calculated from data in the 1993 edition of the ASHRAE Handbook of Fundamentals. The ASHRAE heat gain value was calculated to be 1.4 kBtu/h for a three-foot electric underfired broiler at idle condition using the data from Table 8 in Chapter 26, compared to 9.01 kBtu/h at the idle capture and containment exhaust flow rate, 8.77 kBtu/h at the cooking capture and containment exhaust flow rate, and 5.75 kBtu/h during cooking conditions. For the series of tests reported, the ASHRAE value understates heat gain. Tables 4-2 and 4-3 summarize the heat gain to space test results.

Table 4-2
Heat Gain to Space During Idle Conditions Calculated Using Laboratory Measured Data at Idle Capture and Containment, Cooking Capture and Containment and Building Code Exhaust Ventilation Rates

Three-Foot Electric Underfired Broiler	At Idle C & C	At Cooking C& C	At Code Exhaust Rate
Heat Gain to Space During Idle Conditions (kBtu/h)	9.01	8.77	8.50
Heat Gain to Space During Idle Conditions (kBtu/h per square foot) *	1.91	1.86	1.80

Table 4-3
Heat Gain to Space During Cooking Conditions Calculated Using Laboratory Measured Data at Cooking Capture and Containment Rates

Three-Foot Electric Underfired Broiler	At ASTM F1695-96 Recommended Flow Rate (300 scfm/linft)
Heat Gain to Space During Cooking Conditions (kBtu/h)	5.75
Heat Gain to Space During Cooking Conditions (kBtu/h per square foot) *	1.22

* Based on the actual cooking surface area of the appliance.

A

THEORY AND ANALYSIS

The two principal results of the Standard Test Method for the Performance of Commercial Kitchen Ventilation Systems (CKV-STM) are:

1. capture and containment volumetric air flow rates under idle and cooking conditions, and

2. heat gain to space under a range of volumetric air flow rates.

The CKV-STM summarizes its test method as follows in Section 4:

> 4.1 This test method is used to determine the performance of commercial kitchen ventilation systems. Such systems include one or more exhaust hoods, one or more cooking appliances under the hood(s), other appliances and miscellaneous heat sources not under the hood(s), and a means of providing makeup air, which may include makeup through the hood as well as the HVAC system. Ventilation system performance includes the evaluation of capture and containment of products from cooking operations and the effect of heat gained by the space which impacts human comfort and equipment operation, over exhaust flow rates ranging from minimum capture up to maximum capacity or code value.
>
> 4.2 The test method provides a technique for assessing capture and containment quantitatively by means of an overall balance of energy consumed by the test appliance(s) and the sum of energy exhausted, energy gain to test space, and energy transferred to food, if any.
>
> 4.3 The exhaust hood under test is connected to an exhaust duct and fan, and mounted in an air-tight room The exhaust fan is controlled by an variable speed drive to provide operation over a wide range of exhaust rates. A complementary makeup air fan is controlled to balance the exhaust rate, thereby maintaining a negligible static pressure difference between the inside and outside of the test room.
>
> 4.4 Because of potential problems with measurement in the hot, possibly grease-laden exhaust air stream, exhaust air flow rate is determined by

measuring the makeup air flow rate on the supply side. Design of the airtight test facility ensures that the supply rate equals the exhaust rate since air leakage outside the system boundary (i.e. all components between supply and exhaust blowers making up the system) is negligible.

4.5 The performance of the hood, relating to capture and containment, is measured through the energy balance measurements and calculations, determined over a range of hood exhaust rates. The plotted curve of energy gain to the test space versus exhaust rate represents kitchen ventilation system performance, in terms of heat gain/cooling load associated with the tested appliance(s), and capture and containment under idle conditions.

4.6 In the simplest case, under idle mode, energy exhausted from the test system is measured and subtracted from the energy into the appliance(s) under the hood; the remainder is energy gain to the test space. Energy gain is then determined over a wide range of exhaust flow rates. In cooking mode, energy to food must also be subtracted from the appliance energy input to calculate heat gain.

There are four significant measurements that must be made under the CKV-STM: supply air flow rate, supply air temperature, exhaust air temperature, and appliance input energy. The air flow rate is required for capture and containment tests, and all four measurements are required for the heat gain tests.

Capture and Containment Testing

The phrase "hood capture and containment" is defined in the CKV-STM as:

> "the ability of the hood to capture and contain grease-laden cooking vapors, convective heat and other products of cooking processes. Hood capture refers to the products getting into the hood reservoir from the area under the hood, while containment refers to these products staying in the hood reservoir and not spilling out of the hood into the space adjacent to the hood."

The phrase "minimum capture and containment" is defined as:

> "the conditions of hood operation in which minimum exhaust flow rates are just sufficient to capture and contain the products generated by the appliance in idle and heavy-load cooking conditions, or at any intermediate prescribed load condition."

The minimum exhaust air flow rate required for complete capture and containment of the thermal plume and/or cooking effluent is determined prior to running the heat gain tests for a given hood/appliance combination. Two minimum capture and containment

air flow rates are established—one with the appliance idling and one with the appliance cooking food under full-load conditions.

Air flow visualization is enhanced by using intrusive seeding and non-intrusive optical techniques. Smoke generators (theater-type fog generator) or neutrally-buoyant bubble generators are examples of intrusive seeding techniques to enhance visibility of the thermal plume rising from the heated cooking appliance. This is particularly useful at idle conditions and with appliances that produce little visible effluent.

Optical techniques, such as high-intensity light focused in a plane parallel to a face of the hood, or a schlieren-principle flow visualization system may be used. A schlieren system is particularly useful because video images may be recorded on tape for future use. In many cases, schlieren visualization may be used without intrusive methods such as smoke or bubbles.

During the test, the exhaust flow rate is reduced until spillage of the thermal plume and/or effluent is observed. The exhaust flow rate is then gradually increased in fine increments until full capture and containment is achieved. The air flow rate at this condition is referred to as the threshold exhaust air flow rate for complete capture and containment (C&C).

Heat Gain to Space Testing

Heat gain to the space is determined indirectly by monitoring make-up air volumetric flow rate, the temperature of make-up air moving toward the operating appliance/hood combination, the temperature of the air moving through the exhaust duct, and the energy input into the appliance.

The energy balance is:

$$\sum E_{in} = \sum E_{out} \quad (1)$$

or

$$E_{appliance} + E_{make\ up\ air} = E_{exhaust} + E_{heat\ gain} + E_{food} \quad (2)$$

for the cooking case

$$E_{heat\ gain} = E_{appliance} + E_{make\ up\ air} - E_{exhaust} - E_{food} \quad (3)$$

and for the idle case

$$E_{heat\ gain} = E_{appliance} + E_{make\ up\ air} - E_{exhaust} \quad (4)$$

However, if the energy of the make up air is calculated at a plane three feet in front of the hood by a set of aspirated thermocouple trees, the equation for the idle case reduces to:

$$E_{heat\ gain} = E_{appliance} + E_{tree} - E_{exhaust} \quad (5)$$

or

$$E_{heat\ gain} = E_{appliance} - m\ c_p\ [T_{exhaust} - T_{tree}] \quad (6)$$

or

$$E_{heat\ gain} = E_{appliance} - 1.08\ Q\ [T_{exhaust} - T_{tree}] \quad (7)$$

where m = mass flow rate of total make-up air (lb_a/h),

c_p = specific heat of air stream supplied to hood (0.244 Btu/lb_a °F and

Q = volumetric flow rate supplied to hood (cfm).

B

LABORATORY

The laboratory test area consists of a room, approximately 38 feet long by 24 feet wide by 11 feet high, that is completely contained within a large manufacturing facility and warehouse. The manufacturing facility and warehouse is minimally heated in winter and is not cooled in summer. The lab supply air is cooled by a 17-ton chilled water air-conditioning system and is heated with a 20 kW thermostatically controlled electric duct heater. The air temperature in the laboratory is maintained at 75 - 78°F during testing.

Doors are located in the east and west walls of the laboratory. The east wall door is permanently sealed shut; the door in the west wall is used to enter and exit the laboratory. When closed that door seals air-tight. An AMCA certified air measurement station with a three-nozzle inlet chamber is located on the laboratory roof at the approximate centerline of the roof, running from west to east. Three roof penetration curbs, located to the south of the air measurement station, are available for test set-ups. Three additional curbs are located to the north of the air measurement station. Two of these are available for test set-ups, and the third has a safety water seal system installed over it to vent the laboratory in the event of a positive or negative air pressure build up within the laboratory. Only one test curb site can be used at a time, with the others sealed air tight. Two exhaust fans are located on the lab roof, one on each side of the supply duct. The speeds of the supply and exhaust fans are regulated and monitored on digital read-outs inside the laboratory.

By opening the air measurement station nozzles singly or in combination, the air flow into the laboratory can be varied from 200 cfm to 2100 cfm. The AMCA air flow measurement station conforms to the requirements of AMCA Standard 210.

The exhaust fan speed is set to maintain a pressure differential of ±0.002 inches water column between the laboratory and outside ambient when the laboratory is sealed air tight. The exhaust air flow is not directly measured due to potential contamination from cooking and combustion effluents. Since the supply air flow into the laboratory is accurately determined and the laboratory is airtight, the mass flow rate of exhausted air is equal to the mass flow rate of supplied air as long as the pressure differential is zero.

The maximum air flow rate that can be achieved, with all nozzles open, is dependent upon ambient atmospheric conditions outside the laboratory. A computer controlled

data acquisition and process control system monitors pressure drop across the nozzles in the flow chamber, differential pressure between the laboratory and ambient, barometric pressure, air temperatures from up to 40 RTD sensors, dew point, energy input to tested appliances through electric Watt hour meters, natural gas consumption, natural gas heating capacity, supply and exhaust fan speeds and several other parameters. This system also calculates the air flow through the laboratory continuously.

All capture and containment determinations are made with the exhaust fan under manual control. The computer system automatically adjusts the supply fan speed to achieve zero pressure differential between the laboratory and ambient. For heat gain determinations, the air flow is automatically set by the computer system according to a programmable test schedule along with test duration.

Computer Software and Hardware

The facility uses three computers to acquire, reduce and analyze the data. Two Pentium based PCs are located in an office and are used for image processing for capturing and containment video pictures, data analysis, data presentation, word processing and administration. A 486/66 based PC handles data acquisition and process control tasks. It is located inside the laboratory and is equipped with a GPIB interface, an A/D and D/A converter board and an event counter board. The RTD temperature sensors are measured through a GPIB bus controlled Keithley Instruments DMM2002 in combination with a Scanner 7001. The scanner is equipped with two 7011 scanner cards and is set up for 4-wire resistance measurement to achieve best accuracy with RTD sensors. All other analog input signals and analog outputs for fan control are done through a Keithley Metrabyte data acquisition board with channel multiplexer. The energy input transducers like Watt hour meter and positive displacement volume meter for natural gas are connected through signal conditioning electronics to a Keithley Metrabyte event counter board. An Architectural Energy Corporation MicroDataLogger® is used for comparative data acquisition and analysis.

All three computers are running the Windows95 operating system. The process control and data acquisition software was custom developed for this application in Visual Basic 4.0. Some of the hardware functions were provided through Keithley Metrabyte and Capital Equipment Corporation (CEC) as Windows Dynamic Link Libraries (DLL). Most of the data analysis is done with Microcal Origin, a scientific data analysis program with powerful graphing and curve fitting functions. Origin reads data files from the laboratory control computer directly through the network for instant evaluation.

Instrumentation

Differential pressures are measured on Validyne model PT132 low pressure gages having ranges up to 0.1 or 5 inches water column. These instruments are accurate to within 0.25% full scale. The barometric pressure is measured with a Setra systems Model 270 pressure transducer. The accuracy of that transducer is better than ±1mbar, that corresponds to an error of less than 0.125%.

Electric power input is measured with standard utility Watt hour meters with pulse outputs for 208V 3phase supply. Energy input from 120VAC single phase is monitored with an Ohio Semitronics Watt-Watt hour transducer. Energy input from natural gas is calculated from volumetric consumption as measured with gas positive displacement meters and the gas heating capacity as measured with a natural gas calorimeter.

Temperatures are measured with RTD sensors located as needed to monitor a given test. Twelve aspirated RTDs are mounted to two vertical masts, located 2 to 4 feet in front of the appliances under test. Those RTDs record the temperature of the air approaching the appliance / hood combination. These RTD assemblies are referred to as "thermometer trees", "T-trees", or just "trees". An array of 12 RTDs, consisting of four short pieces of cut open steel pipe with each peace holding 3 RTDs, is placed in the exhaust duct to record the exhaust air temperature. The pieces of steel pipes are installed perpendicular to each other in the duct such that the RTDs are arranged in an equal-area concentric layout.

Flow visualization is done with a custom-developed schlieren flow visualization system from Viewstar Inc. This system allows non-intrusive investigation of hot air flow in real time based on the refractive index dependency of air on temperature. Air in and surrounding the thermal plume from a cooking appliance changes it's mass density and thereby its dielectric constant with temperature. This change in dielectric constant results in a change in refractive index.

The focusing schlieren system at the CKV lab consists of a source grid made of special reflective material to create a uniform pattern of parallel light sources, shaped as dots on a black background, at one end of the lab. This source grid is illuminated through a halogen light source. On the opposite side of the lab an optical system projects the source grid and objects between source grid and optics onto an image screen. A photographically created negative image of the source grid, called a cut-off grid, is located right in front of that image screen. As long as the refractive index of the air between source grid and cut off grid equals one constant value the cut off grid eliminates all the light coming from the source grid and the image on the screen is dark. As soon as the refractive index of the air between source grid and cut off grid changes the image on the screen lightens up because the light rays from the source grid do not hit exactly the black areas on the cut off grid. This effect is called schlieren effect. It can also be observed on hot days as flickering of air over hot pavement. The system at the

CKV lab is sensitive enough to detect the warm air coming off a person's body. An S-VHS video camera is scanning the image on the internal image screen of the schlieren system and transmitting the signal to a TV monitor and a S-VHS VCR.

Additional flow visualization can be done with a Rosco Fog Machine, Model 1500, that has a maximum smoke generation rate of 1500 cfm. A neutrally buoyant bubble generator Model 33 manufactured by Sage Action, Inc. is also available for airflow visualization.

C

TEST METHODOLOGY

All measuring devices and instrumentation are periodically calibrated against standards of known accuracy. The calibration instruments are certified by their respective manufacturers using NIST traceable calibration standards.

Pre-Test Operations

The Validyne pressure gages are periodically calibrated using a hook gage that is accurate to within ±0.001 inches of water column. The RTDs together with the Keithley Instruments temperature measurement system have an absolute accuracy of better than ±0.1°F. Over a period of 24 hours the accuracy of this system is better than ±0.005°F. In the described test setup this second accuracy value is the most important number because the system is calibrated through re-calibration tests every night. If the T-trees indicate the necessity of better air mixing within the laboratory a set of air mixer stacks can be set up.

Test Operations

Before the first test of the day is performed, the room air flow is set to the required level, the appliance powered on and allowed to come to cooking temperature. After the cooking temperature is reached, the appliance cycles for a minimum time of one hour. The laboratory is then operated for another hour, minimum, to insure stabilization of the laboratory, hood, ductwork, and equipment. For subsequent tests at different air flows, the laboratory is stabilized for a minimum of one-half hour prior to the test actually being run.

Each idle test is operated for a minimum of two hours for thermostatically controlled appliances to assure that energy input to the appliance has stabilized for the selected exhaust flow rate. Cooking tests also require 20 minutes after a stabilization period.

The data acquisition computer writes one complete set of data every 4 seconds to a data file. Data is analyzed statistically and is accepted and used only when it has an uncertainty value less than 15% within 95% confidence limits. When a problem is discovered or suspected with a test or series of tests the results of those tests are disregarded, the problem cause is corrected, and the tests are repeated.

[1] *ASTM* F1704-96 *Standard Test Method for Performance of Commercial Kitchen Ventilation Systems*. American Society for Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA. (1996).

[2] American Society of Heating, Refrigeration, and Air-conditioning Engineers, 1791 Tullie Circle NE, Atlanta, GA 30329-2305.

[3] International Building Mechanical Code, International Code Council, Inc. The Council is made up of the Building Officials and Code Administrators International, Inc., the International Conference of Building Officials, Inc., and the Southern Building Code Congress International, Inc.

[4] *ASTM F1695-96, Standard Test Method for the Performance of Underfired Broilers.* American Society for Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA. (1996).